




Eco Route
Environmental Consultancy

REGISTRATION NO. 1998/031976/23

46 President Steyn, The Island, Sedgefield
Western Cape, South Africa

Mobile: 082 557 7122
Email: admin@ecoroute.co.za
Website: www.ecoroute.co.za

PROPOSED CULTIVATION OF 11 HECTARES OF LAND TO PLANT MACADAMIA AND AVOCADO TREES ON ERF 385, SEVEN PASSES ROAD, HOEKWIL, GEORGE MUNICIPALITY, WESTERN CAPE



Date: June 2025 (amended September 2025)

Compiled by: Samantha Teeluckdhari (2023/6443)

DEA&DP Reference: 16/3/3/1/D2/30/0006/25

EAP Signature: S. Teeluckdhari

CONDITIONS OF USE OF THE REPORT

This report is the property of **Eco Route Environmental Consultancy**, who may publish it, in whole, provided that:

1. Eco Route Environmental Consultancy are indemnified against any claim for damages that may result from publication.
2. Eco Route Environmental Consultancy accepts no responsibility by the Applicant/Client for failure to follow or comply with the recommended programme, specifications or recommendations contained in this report.
3. Eco Route Environmental Consultancy accepts no responsibility for deviation or non-compliance of any specifications or guidelines provided in the report.
4. This document remains the confidential and proprietary information of Eco Route Environmental Consultancy and is protected by copyright in favour of Eco Route Environmental Consultancy and may not be reproduced or used without the written consent from Eco Route Environmental Consultancy, which has been obtained beforehand.
5. This document is prepared exclusively for **Wilderness Fruit (Pty) Ltd** and is subject to all confidentiality, copyright and trade secrets, rules, intellectual property law and practices of South Africa.

STATEMENT OF INDEPENDENCE

I, **Samantha Teeluckdhari** of Eco Route Environmental Consultancy, in terms of section 33 of the NEMA, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended, hereby declare that I provide services as an independent Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAPASA Reg: **2023/6443**) and receive remuneration for services rendered for undertaking tasks required in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), and the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (as amended). I have no financial or other vested interest in the project.

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	4
2. PROJECT DETAILS	6
3. LOCATION INFORMATION	6
4. PROPERTY INFORMATION.....	7
5. SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN	7
6. ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY MAPS.....	8
7. MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURES.....	11
8. SPECIALIST RECOMMENDATIONS/MITIGATION MEASURES	17
8.1 Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment (Greg Nicolson, Capensis Ecological Consulting, May 2024) –	17
8.2 Animal Species Specialist Report (Adam Labuschagne and Jacobus Visser, Capensis Ecological Consulting, May 2024) –	18
8.3. Specialist Aquatic Biodiversity Assessment (Dr. James Dabrowski, Confluent Environmental, May 2025) –	19
8.4. Soil Suitability Assessment and Agricultural Compliance Statement (Johann Lanz, Soil ZA, May 2025) –	19
8.5 Summary of Mitigation Measures For No-Go Areas.....	20
8.6 Mitigation Measures to Address Eutrophication Risks	20
9. LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS	21
9.1 Signing of the EMPr	21
9.2 Legislation	21
9.3 Project Responsibilities.....	23
10. REPORTING PROCEDURES	24
10.1 Documentation.....	24
10.2 Environmental Register	24
10.3 Non-Conformance Report.....	25
10.4 Environmental Emergency Response	25
11. COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMPr	26
11.1 Monitoring and Compliance	26
11.2 Auditing Process.....	26
11.3 Non-Compliance	27
11.4 Issuing a Non-Compliance	27
11.5 Process of Issuing Non-Compliance	27
11.6 Failure to complete corrective actions	28
11.7 Unlawful Activity/ies.....	28
12. AMENDMENTS TO THE EMPr	28
13. ENFORCING THE EMPr	28
14. ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME	30
14.1 CONSTRUCTION PHASE.....	30
14.2 REHABILITATION AND OPERATIONAL PHASE	35
14.3 FINANCIAL PROVISION FOR REHABILITATION.....	37
15. ALIEN PLANT CONTROL	37
16. SPECIFIC INFORMATION THAT MAY BE REQUIRED BY THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY	40
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FORM	41

1. INTRODUCTION

Environmental Management Programme Requirements

The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (ACT NO. 107 OF 1998) regulation no.326 as amended, Appendix 4 stipulates the required information that must be incorporated within an Environmental Management Programme (EMPr). The checklist below serves as a summary of how these requirements were incorporated into this EMPr.

Content of environmental management programme (EMPr):

1. (1) An EMPr must comply with Section 24N of the Act and include –

(a) details of – i. The EAP who prepared the EMPr; and ii. The expertise of that EAP to prepare the EMPr, including a curriculum vitae;	This EMPr was prepared by Samantha Teeluckdhari of Eco Route Environmental Consultancy. Samantha has more than 9 years' experience as an Environmental Assessment Practitioner. Please see attached CV of the EAP.
(b) a detailed description of the aspects of the activity that are covered by the EMPr as identified by the project description;	This EMPr covers all aspects involved in the proposed cultivation of 11 hectares of land to plant macadamia and avocado trees on erf 385, Seven Passes Road, Hoekwil, George Municipality, Western Cape. Sections 2 – 4 provides details of the proposed Project
(c) a map at an appropriate scale which superimposes the proposed activity, its associated structures, and infrastructure on environmental sensitivities of the preferred site, indicating any areas that should be avoided including buffers;	Section 5 has the Site Development Plan/SDP. The SDP is attached as Appendix B and accompanying GIS maps includes sensitive areas of the site.
(d) a description of the impact management <u>outcomes</u> , including management statements, identifying the impacts and risks that need to be avoided, managed and mitigated as identified through the environmental impact assessment process for all phases of the development including – i. Planning and design ii. Pre-construction activities iii. Construction activities iv. Rehabilitation of the environment after construction and where applicable post closure; and v. Where relevant, operation activities	Addressed in Section 7
(f) a description of proposed impact management actions, identifying the manner in which the impact management outcomes contemplated in paragraph (d) will be achieved, and must, where applicable, include actions to – i. Avoid, modify, remedy, control or stop any action, activity or process which causes pollution or environmental degradation; ii. Comply with any prescribed environmental management standards and practises; iii. Comply with any applicable provisions of the Act regarding closure, where applicable; and iv. Comply with any provisions of the Act regarding financial provision for rehabilitation, where applicable;	Addressed throughout the EMPr, specifically Section 14
(g) the method of monitoring the implementation of the impact management actions contemplated in paragraph (f);	Addressed throughout the EMPr, specifically Section 14
(h) the frequency and monitoring the implementation of the impact management actions contemplated in paragraph (f);	Section 11.
(i) an indication of the persons who will be responsible for the implementation of the impact management actions;	Section 9-11.
(j) the time periods within which the impact management	Section 9-11, 14.

actions contemplated in paragraph (f) must be implemented;	
(k) the mechanism for monitoring compliance with the impact management contemplated in paragraph (f)	Section 14.
(l) a program for reporting on compliance, taking into account the requirements as prescribed by the regulations;	Section 14.
(m) an environmental awareness plan describing the manner in which – i. The applicant intends to inform his or her employees of any environmental risk which may result from their work; and ii. Risks must be dealt with in order to avoid pollution or the degradation of the environment; and	Section 13 & 14.
(n) any specific information that may be required by the competent authority	All required information has been addressed.

In accordance with the Integrated Environmental Management Guidelines published by the Department of Environmental Affairs & Tourism (DEAT) in 1992, the purpose of an Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) is "to describe how negative environmental impacts will be managed, rehabilitated or monitored and how positive impacts will be maximised".

National Environmental Management Act, (Act 107 of 1998)

(i) Section 28 of NEMA (National Environmental Management Act, Act 107 of 1998) states that:

Duty of care and remediation of environmental damage

"(1) Every person who causes, has caused, or may cause significant pollution or degradation of the environment must take reasonable measures to prevent such pollution or degradation from occurring, continuing or recurring, or, in so far as such harm to the environment is authorised by law or cannot be reasonably avoided or stopped, to minimise and rectify such pollution or degradation of the environment"

This EMPr must form an integral part of the contract documents, as it outlines the methodology & duties required so that the project objectives can be achieved in an environmentally sustainable manner; with particular reference to the prevention and mitigation of environmental impacts caused by construction activities associated with this project.

These requirements will have a financial impact on the projects costings.

This EMPr is a dynamic document that may need to evolve during its implementation period so that it recognises any new issues that may arise; or changes in the parameters of identified issues and can address these issues with the required/amended mitigation.

The Polluter-Pays Principle

This principle provides for "the costs of remedying pollution, environmental degradation and consequent adverse health effects and of preventing, controlling or minimizing further pollution, environmental damage or adverse health effects must be paid for by those responsible for harming the environment." The Polluter Pays Principle will be rigorously applied throughout the construction and operational phases of this project.

The EMPr will address the environmental impacts during the:

- i. Planning and design phase
- ii. Pre-construction activities
- iii. Construction activities
- iv. Rehabilitation of the environment after construction
- v. Where relevant, operation activities

The main objective of the EMPr is to ensure environmental protection throughout the life span of the project on the receiving environment.

The EMPr consists of various environmental specifications and recommendations in order to achieve the less negative environmental impacts on the receiving environment. The EMPr will indicate what measures needs to be implemented

to ensure appropriate restoration of areas affected by the proposed project and prevent long term environmental degradation.

The contractor must be made aware of the environmental obligations that are stipulated in the EMPr. The contractor must declare themselves to be conversant of all relevant environmental legislation, the conditions in the Environmental Authorisation (EA) and the EMPr.

2. PROJECT DETAILS

The property is zoned Agricultural I. Wilderness Fruit (Pty) Ltd is applying for an additional 11 hectares of cultivated land to practise their existing rights to plant Macadamia and Avocado Trees on Erf 385, Hoekwil. Historically, the agricultural lands have been utilised for farming practises; however, the project area of interest (PAOI) is currently heavily infested with alien invasive plants (AIPs). The site can be located at GPS coordinates 33° 57' 13.87" 22° 35' 18.01".




Figure 1 Locality Map

Alternative 1 (Preferred Alternative) –

The clearance of indigenous vegetation (heavily alien plant infested) for the development of a further 11 hectares of agricultural land for the purpose of planting Avocado trees and Macadamia nuts. The property has water rights and a dam on site. Irrigation pipes will be laid within the area; however, the proponent will manage the field as 'dryland' and some water will be used when fertilizer is required. The crops will be watered mainly by rainwater as the area receives sufficient annual rainfall for the proposed trees.

3. LOCATION INFORMATION

Province:	Western Cape
District Municipality:	Garden Route Municipality
Local Municipality:	George Local Municipality

Ward number(s):	Ward 22
Nearest town(s):	George
Erf number:	385 Hoekwil

4. PROPERTY INFORMATION

Erf number	Erf 385 Hoekwil
Surveyor General 21 digit code:	C02700050000038500000
Zoning:	Agriculture I
Urban Edge:	No
Applicant name:	Wilderness Fruit (Pty) Ltd
Registration number (if applicant is a company):	2019/213818/07
Trading name (if any):	Wilderness Fruit (Pty) Ltd
Responsible person name:	Mr. Basil Jacobs
Applicant/ Responsible person ID number:	8407115331083
Responsible position, e.g. Director, CEO, etc.:	
Physical address of applicant:	
Postal address:	Postnet Suite MW313 Private BagX1828 Middelburg
Postal code:	1050
Telephone:	+27(0) 82 856 3909
Fax:	
E-mail:	admin@wildernessfruit.co.za
GPS point middle of property:	33° 57' 13.87" 22° 35' 18.01"

5. SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The below map indicates the proposed activity, its associated structures and infrastructure and environmental sensitive (no-go areas including a buffer area) areas of the site. Maps are attached as appendix B to this document to view in detail.




Figure 2 Preferred Alternative Layout

6. ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY MAPS




Figure 3: Critical Biodiversity Map – the proposed cultivation area will be partially within CBA2





Figure 4: Watercourse and 30m buffer area



7. MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Impacts foreseen during the design and planning phase:

The planning and design phase entails identified no-go areas and sensitivities on the site by specialists. These findings have been incorporated into the final SDP and must be adhered to .

The landowner must seek advice from Fire Advisor with regards to the National Veld and Forest Fire Act (NVFFA): Mr. P. Gerber: 0828055840; pgerber@dfre.gov.za

Impact 1 – Loss of Terrestrial Biodiversity

Cultivation of up to 15 ha including Intact and Semi-intact habitat.

Impact	Preferred Alternative NOT APPLICABLE		Alternative 2		No-Go
	Without mitigation	With mitigation	Without mitigation	With mitigation	
Duration			Long term	Long term	Long term
Extent			Very limited	Very limited	Very limited
Intensity			High	Moderate	Moderate
Probability			Certain / definite	Certain / definite	Certain / definite
Confidence			High	High	High
Reversibility			Low	Low	Low
Resource irreplaceability			Medium	Medium	Low
Significance			Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	Minor - negative
Cumulative impacts	The loss of 15 ha on this site would result in the loss of Medium and High sensitivity areas and species of conservation concern, and would result in a Medium to High negative residual impact which would require a biodiversity offset.				

Mitigation:

1. The calculated potentially developable area is 11 ha. If this area were to be developed it would result in a Low negative impact if mitigation is applied.
2. Mitigation includes the search and rescue of one SCC the Vulnerable Sensitive species 419 and the rehabilitation of the areas excluded from the development footprint.

Impact 2 - Degradation of wetland habitat caused by upgrading the access road.

Additionally, infilling across the wetland can alter the natural hydrological and geomorphological characteristics of the wetland by restricting flow across the road. This has the effect of increasing the extent of inundation and sedimentation upstream of the road and reducing (or channelising) flow and sediment inputs downstream of the road, leading to a reduced extent or erosion of the wetland. Mitigation measures must therefore be implemented with a view to maintaining the natural hydrological and geomorphological characteristics of the wetland are maintained. In this respect the road must be upgraded to continue to allow diffuse flow through the road which can be achieved by installing multiple appropriately sized culverts through the road.

Alternative A (Alternative 2): The existing road crossing the western wetland has been completely inundated and revegetated by wetland plant species and will need to be upgraded in order to make it passable to vehicles. This would require infilling along the existing alignment of the road, which will result in loss of permanent wetland habitat.

Alternative B (Preferred Alternative): The alternative crossing is located across a narrower portion of the wetland which has been previously disturbed, is far less saturated and thus exhibits less prominent permanent

wetland features.					
Impact	Alternative A (Alternative 2)		Alternative B (Preferred Alternative)		No-Go NOT APPLICABLE
	Without mitigation	With mitigation	Without mitigation	With mitigation	
Duration	Permanent	Permanent	Permanent	Permanent	
Extent	Very limited	Very limited	Very limited	Very limited	
Intensity	Very High	Moderate	High	Low	
Probability	Almost Certain	Likely	Almost Certain	Likely	
Confidence	High	High	High	High	
Reversibility	High	High	High	High	
Resource irreplaceability	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Significance	Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	
Cumulative impacts					

Mitigation:

1. Multiple culverts (at least 300 mm diameter) must be placed through the road (every 5 m along the delineated width of the wetland) to facilitate diffuse flow beneath the road.
2. The invert of each culvert must be level with bed of the wetland upstream and downstream of the road as the bed Figure 12.
3. The width of the road surface must not exceed 4 m.
4. An ECO must be appointed to oversee the upgrade of the road to ensure that the above-mentioned mitigation measures are implemented.
5. For Alternative A: As the road crosses the wetland at an angle, culverts must be orientated parallel to the direction of flow through the wetland and must NOT be orientated perpendicular to the alignment of the road (see Figure 11).




Figure 11: Sketch indicating orientation of correct orientation of culverts (black dashed lines) relative to the flow of water (blue arrow) and the alignment of the road (red lines).




Figure 12: Diagram illustrating the ideal placement of culverts relative to the bed of the wetland.

Impacts foreseen during the construction phase:

Impact 3 – Loss of terrestrial ecology including: vegetation type, ecological processes, indigenous vegetation, ecologically important species, terrestrial habitat and ecological connectivity.

Impact	Preferred Alternative (11 ha)		Alternative 2 (15 ha)		No-Go
	Without mitigation	With mitigation	Without mitigation	With mitigation	
Duration	Long term	Long term	Long term	Long term	Long term
Extent	Very limited	Very limited	Very limited	Very limited	Very limited
Intensity	Moderate	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate
Probability	Certain / definite	Certain / definite	Certain / definite	Certain / definite	Certain / definite
Confidence	High	High	High	High	High
Reversibility	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Resource irreplaceability	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low
Significance	Minor - negative	Minor - negative	Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	Minor - negative
Cumulative impacts	<p>The loss of 15 ha on this site would result in the loss of Medium and High sensitivity areas and species of conservation concern, and would result in a Medium to High negative residual impact which would require a biodiversity offset.</p> <p>The calculated potentially developable area is 11 ha. If this area were to be developed it would result in a Low negative impact if mitigation is applied.</p>				

Mitigation:

1. Avoidance of the Intact forest (including a 50m buffer), Semi-intact fynbos and Degraded fynbos habitats which are of High and Medium sensitivity.
2. Avoidance of the subpopulation of *Leucospermum glabrum* (including a 100m buffer) and Sensitive species 419 on the eastern side of the site.
3. Ensure that natural fire cycles can occur within this area.
4. Avoidance of the freshwater features (including a 30m buffer) to ensure connectivity of lowland and upland habitat.
5. The 'search and rescue' of the Sensitive species 419 from the western side of the site.
6. The vegetation from the fynbos habitat that is not developed must be rehabilitated to a state where it is representative of the original fynbos ecosystem and supports ecological functioning to a moderate or high level.
7. The rehabilitation must be undertaken in a phased approach, according to a rehabilitation plan and undertaken by a qualified botanist or restoration ecologist.
8. The initial step will require the removal and control of all IAPs on the property and erosion control if necessary. Passive rehabilitation on the parts of the site where no earthworks have taken place can be allowed for one winter season following the removal of IAPs. Thereafter the site must be assessed by the restoration contractor to determine the level of active rehabilitation input. Active rehabilitation will be required for areas where topsoil has been disturbed, and areas that do not naturally recover from stored soil seedbank.
9. The restoration contractor should monitor the populations of SCC to ensure that they persist on the site, and additional propagation of these species may be required.
10. Follow-up clearing of all exotic and listed IAPs is required every 6 months for the first three years, and annually thereafter to ensure that the IAPs do not dominate the fynbos.

Impact 4 – Loss of Species of Conservation Concern/ SCC

Flora: Loss of at least one *Ocotea bullata* seedling. Potential loss of two other SCC from site.

Fauna: one species of conservation concern (*C. duthiae*) may be impacted by the development,

Impact	Preferred Alternative (11 ha)		Alternative 2 (15 ha)		No-Go
	Without mitigation	With mitigation	Without mitigation	With mitigation	
Duration	Long term	Long term	Long term	Long term	Long term
Extent	Very limited	Very limited	Very limited	Very limited	Very limited
Intensity	High	Low	High	Low	Moderate
Probability	Certain / definite	Certain / definite	Certain / definite	Certain / definite	Certain / definite
Confidence	High	High	High	High	High
Reversibility	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Resource irreplaceability	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Low
Significance	Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	Minor - negative
Cumulative impacts	N/A				

Mitigation:

1. Avoidance of the subpopulation of *Leucospermum glabrum* (including a 100m buffer) and Sensitive species 419 on the eastern side of the site.
2. The 'search and rescue' of the Sensitive species 419 from the western side of the site.
3. A buffer of 50m from intact forest habitats (Figure 9). This boundary is intended to mitigate any potential edge effects that may result from the clearing of adjacent vegetation. Forest species tend to be intolerant of disturbance and therefore this buffer intends to reduce disturbance during the construction and operational phases of developments.
4. Removal of all Invasive Alien Plants (IAPs) in buffers. The removal of these plants is key to allow for the recovery of the natural edaphic climax community, thereby improving habitat quality for resident faunal populations. The rehabilitation must be undertaken in a phased approach, according to a rehabilitation plan and undertaken by a qualified botanist or restoration ecologist.
5. Strict adherence to guidelines regarding use of pesticides, herbicides and other agricultural chemicals.
6. Avoid using heavy machinery in close proximity to buffer zones, and where possible limit human presence within buffer zones.

Impact 5 - Loss of wetland habitat during the establishment of orchards

The extent of the proposed cultivated area (Alternative 2) will extend into sections of wetland habitat and will provide minimal buffer area and associated protection of the wetland. In addition, preparation of orchards during the construction phase could potentially result in the degradation of wetland habitat if these take place in too close proximity to orchards. This will result in loss and degradation of wetland habitat over time, particularly considering the steep slopes and poor buffering capability of uncleared vegetation.

Impact	Preferred Alternative (11 ha)		Alternative 2 (15 ha)		No-Go NOT APPLICABLE
	Without mitigation	With mitigation	Without mitigation	With mitigation	
Duration	Permanent	Long term	Permanent	Long term	
Extent	Limited	Very limited	Limited	Very limited	
Intensity	Very high	Low	Very high	Low	
Probability	Certain / definite	Unlikely	Certain / definite	Unlikely	
Confidence	High	High	High	High	
Reversibility	High	High	High	High	
Resource irreplaceability	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Significance	Moderate - negative	Negligible - negative	Moderate - negative	Negligible - negative	
Cumulative impacts	Loss of ecological habitats and SCC.				

Mitigation:

- Implementation of a 30 m buffer to protect the watercourse during the establishment of the orchards. The outer edge of the buffer must be clearly demarcated and activities within the buffer must be avoided;
- No orchards are to be established within the buffer;
- No equipment or materials to be stored or stockpiled in the buffer;
- No heavy machinery to operate within buffer;
- Apart from the road crossing the wetland, no roads to be established within the buffer; and
- An ECO must be appointed to oversee the establishment of the cultivated area relative to the delineation of the 30 m buffer.

Impact 6 - Disturbance and pollution of aquatic habitat caused by construction of the road crossing.

Alternative A: The existing road crossing the western wetland has been completely inundated and revegetated by wetland plant species and will need to be upgraded in order to make it passable to vehicles. This would require infilling along the existing alignment of the road, which will result in loss of permanent wetland habitat.

Alternative B: The alternative crossing is located across a narrower portion of the wetland which has been previously disturbed, is far less saturated and thus exhibits less prominent permanent wetland features.

In addition, for both alternatives, construction of the crossing will require that vehicles and machinery will need to access the watercourse which can result in:

- Physical disturbance of aquatic habitat (beyond the footprint of the road);
- Pollution through leaks and spills of hydrocarbons (i.e. fuel and oil from construction vehicles and machinery) and other construction materials (e.g. cement) and
- Mobilisation of sediment due excavation of the bed and banks and operation of construction vehicles in the watercourse.

Impact	Alternative A		Alternative B (has become the preferred alternative after assessing the impacts in this phase)		No-Go NOT APPLICABLE
	Without mitigation	With mitigation	Without mitigation	With mitigation	
Duration	Permanent	Permanent	Permanent	Permanent	
Extent	Very limited	Very limited	Very limited	Very limited	
Intensity	High	Moderate	Low	Very low	
Probability	Certain / definite	Certain / definite	Certain / definite	Certain / definite	
Confidence	High	High	High	High	
Reversibility	High	High	High	High	
Resource irreplaceability	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Significance	Moderate - negative	Moderate - negative	Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	
Cumulative impacts					

Mitigation:

- Construction of the road crossing must occur during the drier summer season;
- Working areas must be clearly demarcated and no vehicle access or disturbance must take place outside of demarcated areas;
- Rehabilitate and naturalise areas beyond the development footprint, which have been affected by the construction activities, using indigenous grass species;
- Use excavators instead of bulldozers to reduce sedimentation and consolidate the entry and exit points to reduce scouring;
- Vehicles must be restricted to travelling only on designated roadways to limit the ecological footprint of the proposed development activities;
- Restrict vehicle access to the watercourse to single points that are clearly demarcated;

- Excavators and all other machinery and vehicles must be checked for oil and fuel leaks daily. No machinery or vehicles with leaks are permitted to work in the watercourse;
- No fuel storage, refuelling, vehicle maintenance or vehicle depots to be allowed within 30 m of the edge of the delineated watercourse;
- Ensure that all stockpiles are well managed and have measures such as berms and hessian sheets implemented to prevent erosion and sedimentation. Stockpiles must be located more than 30 m from the edge of the wetland;
- Contractors used for the project should have spill kits available to ensure that any fuel or oil spills are cleaned and disposed correctly;
- Adequate sanitary facilities and ablutions must be provided for all personnel throughout the project area. Use of these facilities must be enforced (these facilities must be kept clean so that they are a desired alternative to the surrounding vegetation) and must be routinely serviced; and
- No dumping of construction or waste material is permitted. All construction and waste materials must be removed from the wetland and correctly disposed.

Impacts foreseen during the operation phase:

Impact 7 – Pollution of watercourse caused by surface runoff of sediments, pesticides and nutrients from orchards.

Cultivated fields will be established on relatively steep slopes which could mobilise nonpoint source pollution of sediments, nutrients and pesticides via surface runoff into watercourses.

Impact	Preferred Alternative (11 ha)		Alternative 2 (15 ha)		No-Go NOT APPLICABLE
	Without mitigation	With mitigation	Without mitigation	With mitigation	
Duration	Long term	Long term	Long term	Long term	
Extent	Local	Limited	Local	Limited	
Intensity	High	Moderate	High	Moderate	
Probability	Certain / definite	Probable	Certain / definite	Probable	
Confidence	High	High	High	High	
Reversibility	High	High	High	High	
Resource irreplaceability	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Significance	Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	
Cumulative impacts	Pollution of the greater water catchment area.				

Mitigation:

1. Planting rows must be planted along the contours as opposed to perpendicular to the contours;
2. A permanent cover crop must be cultivated on the orchard row (underneath the trees) and in work rows (rows between the trees) which will improve water retention and soil structure and control unwanted weeds and also minimise transport of soil, nutrients and pesticides in surface runoff;
3. Implementation and maintenance of 30 m buffer between cultivated fields and watercourses; and
4. Control of alien invasive plant species must be carried out within buffer areas to encourage recolonisation by indigenous vegetation and improve the structural integrity of the buffer.

Impact 8 – Pollution of watercourse caused by spray drift during pesticide application.

Drift of pesticides into sensitive non-target areas during spraying can result in high concentrations of toxic pesticides being deposited in watercourses. While contamination is likely to be short-term, the high concentrations typically associated with spray drift events can lead to chronic and/or acute toxicological effects to aquatic and other biota inhabiting watercourses. The most effective measure to reduce drift deposition in watercourses is a) to increase the distance between the closest point of application and the watercourse through the establishment of a buffer and b) encourage growth of vegetation within the buffer which effectively intercepts spray droplets and minimises deposition in the watercourse.

Impact	Preferred Alternative (11 ha)	Alternative 2 (15 ha)	No-Go
--------	----------------------------------	--------------------------	-------

	Without mitigation	With mitigation	Without mitigation	With mitigation	NOT APPLICABLE
Duration	On-going	On-going	On-going	On-going	
Extent	Local	Limited	Local	Limited	
Intensity	High	Low	High	Low	
Probability	Certain / definite	Probable	Certain / definite	Probable	
Confidence	High	High	High	High	
Reversibility	High	High	High	High	
Resource irreplaceability	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Significance	Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	Moderate - negative	Minor - negative	
Cumulative impacts	Pollution of the greater water catchment area and loss of SCC.				
Mitigation:					
1. Implementation and maintenance of a vegetated 30 m buffer between cultivated fields and watercourses.					
2. Strict adherence to application of herbicide/pesticide protocols.					
3. Avoid applying aerosolized herbicide/pesticide during windy conditions.					

Impact 9 – Impairment of wetland habitat caused by increased stormwater inputs.					
Hardened road surfaces act as conduits for the conveyance of high energy stormwater flows directly into watercourses which can lead to erosion of the bed and banks and discharge of sediments and pollutants into watercourses.					
Impact	Preferred Alternative (11 ha)		Alternative 2 (15 ha)		No-Go
	Without mitigation	With mitigation	Without mitigation	With mitigation	NOT APPLICABLE
Duration	On-going	On-going	On-going	On-going	
Extent	Limited	Limited	Limited	Limited	
Intensity	High	Low	High	Low	
Probability	Likely	Unlikely	Likely	Unlikely	
Confidence	High	High	High	High	
Reversibility	High	High	High	High	
Resource irreplaceability	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Significance	Minor - negative	Negligible - negative	Minor - negative	Negligible - negative	
Cumulative impacts	N/A				
Mitigation:					
<div><div>1.</div><div>Water on the road approaching the wetland must be diverted off of the road as quickly as possible, to minimise the amount of water running directly down the road and into the wetland. The drainage must lead the water to vegetated filter strips or swales alongside the road, which remove sediment and other pollutants from the water.</div></div> <div><div>2.</div><div>Having more frequent drains on the approach to the wetland ensures that the least amount of water is discharged directly into the wetland and reduced sediment loading.</div></div>					

8. SPECIALIST RECOMMENDATIONS/MITIGATION MEASURES

8.1 TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY ASSESSMENT (GREG NICOLSON, CAPENSIS ECOLOGICAL CONSULTING, MAY 2024) –

Mitigation options are generally considered in terms of the following mitigation hierarchy: (1) avoidance, (2) minimization, (3) restoration and (4) offsets. A distinction is also made between essential mitigation (non-negotiable

mitigation measures that lower the impact significance) and non-essential mitigation (best practise measures that do not lower the impact significance).

In this instance, a number of essential mitigation measures are necessary to reduce the impact of the development.

1. Avoidance of the Intact forest (including a 50m buffer), Semi-intact fynbos and Degraded fynbos habitats which are of High and Medium sensitivity.
2. Avoidance of the subpopulation of *Leucospermum glabrum* (including a 100m buffer) and Sensitive species 419 on the eastern side of the site.
3. Ensure that natural fire cycles can occur within this area.
4. Avoidance of the freshwater features (including a 30m buffer) to ensure connectivity of lowland and upland habitat.
5. The 'search and rescue' of the Sensitive species 419 from the western side of the site.
6. The vegetation from the fynbos habitat that is not developed must be rehabilitated to a state where it is representative of the original fynbos ecosystem and supports ecological functioning to a moderate or high level.
7. The rehabilitation must be undertaken in a phased approach, according to a rehabilitation plan and undertaken by a qualified botanist or restoration ecologist.
8. The initial step will require the removal and control of all IAPs on the property and erosion control if necessary. Passive rehabilitation on the parts of the site where no earthworks have taken place can be allowed for one winter season following the removal of IAPs. Thereafter the site must be assessed by the restoration contractor to determine the level of active rehabilitation input. Active rehabilitation will be required for areas where topsoil has been disturbed, and areas that do not naturally recover from stored soil seedbank.
9. The restoration contractor should monitor the populations of SCC to ensure that they persist on the site, and additional propagation of these species may be required.
10. Follow-up clearing of all exotic and listed IAPs is required every 6 months for the first three years, and annually thereafter to ensure that the IAPs do not dominate the fynbos.

Best practise mitigation

1. Mark off the areas that are not going to be developed prior to undertaking any works, and ensure that no unnecessary loss of adjacent vegetation occurs.
2. Mark off all SCC, especially the central subpopulation of *Leucospermum glabrum*, to ensure that it is not disturbed during construction.
3. Sites for building material stocks, vehicles, toilets etc must be clearly marked and restricted to the building footprint, exiting roads or existing disturbed areas.

8.2 ANIMAL SPECIES SPECIALIST REPORT (ADAM LABUSCHAGNE AND JACOBUS VISSER, CAPENSIS ECOLOGICAL CONSULTING, MAY 2024) –

In terms of essential mitigation measures the following actions are necessary to reduce the impact of the development:

1. A buffer of 50m from intact forest habitats (Figure 9). This boundary is intended to mitigate any potential edge effects that may result from the clearing of adjacent vegetation. Forest species tend to be intolerant of disturbance and therefore this buffer intends to reduce disturbance during the construction and operational phases of developments.
2. A 30m buffer along all water courses and wetland habitats (Figure 9), as per the Aquatic Specialist Report (James, 2024). Due to the steep topography, there is a high risk of runoff in both the construction and operational phases of the development. This can be in the form of increased sediment loads as a result of erosion, or through runoff containing

agricultural products such as pesticide or herbicide. This is vital to ensure the viability of amphibian and aquatic invertebrate populations that are sensitive to poor water quality.

3. Removal of all Invasive Alien Plants (IAPs) in buffers. The removal of these plants is key to allow for the recovery of the natural edaphic climax community, thereby improving habitat quality for resident faunal populations. The rehabilitation must be undertaken in a phased approach, according to a rehabilitation plan and undertaken by a qualified botanist or restoration ecologist.

4. Strict adherence to guidelines regarding use of pesticides, herbicides and other agricultural chemicals.

5. Avoid using heavy machinery in close proximity to buffer zones, and where possible limit human presence within buffer zones.

Whilst not an essential mitigation measure, it is recommended that any particularly large IAP individuals be ring barked and left to stand within buffer zones, where possible. Referred to as snags in forestry, these dead trees will provide good quality nesting sites for *Campethera notata* and other woodpeckers species as well as raptors such as *Stephanoetus coronatus* or *Polemaetus bellicosus*.

Best practise mitigation

1. Mark off the areas that are not going to be developed prior to undertaking any works, and ensure that no unnecessary loss of adjacent vegetation occurs.

2. Sites for building material stocks, vehicles, toilets etc must be clearly marked and restricted to the developmental footprint, existing roads or existing disturbed areas.

3. Avoid using heavy machinery within the prescribed buffer zones. This reduces the risk of soil compaction which would have a deleterious effect on the burrowing behaviour of any remaining mole species within these habitats.

8.3. SPECIALIST AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY ASSESSMENT (DR. JAMES DABROWSKI, CONFLUENT ENVIRONMENTAL, MAY 2025) –

Two wetlands and associated streams were identified either side of the proposed cultivated area on Erf 385. These wetlands occur within a catchment area that has been classified as a FEPA and a SWSA. Any further development in the catchment area must therefore be done in a sensitive manner so as to maintain watercourses and the larger Touws River catchment in a good ecological condition. Extensive agricultural activities are one of the main threats to aquatic biodiversity that have been identified in the broader catchment area. Impacts associated with agriculture are primarily related to loss of aquatic habitat due to encroachment of cultivated areas into riparian zones and wetlands and nonpoint source pollution of watercourses by nutrients, sediment and pesticides. All of these impacts can be effectively mitigated through the implementation of adequately sized buffers that protect watercourses from habitat loss but also play an important role in attenuating and filtering nonpoint source pollutants. In this respect, and considering the sensitivity of the catchment area, a mandatory 30 m buffer between watercourses and planned cultivated fields must be implemented. Provided that the buffer and other mitigation measures are implemented, impacts associated with the proposed establishment of cultivated areas are acceptable from an aquatic biodiversity perspective.

Both road crossing alternatives would require infilling of wetland habitat and can also alter the natural hydrological and geomorphological characteristics of the wetland by restricting flow across the road. Mitigation measures must therefore be implemented with a view to ensuring the natural hydrological and geomorphological characteristics of the wetland are maintained. In this respect the road design must continue to allow diffuse flow through the road which can be achieved by installing multiple appropriately sized culverts through the road. Alternative B results in a lower impact and risk to the wetland – and is therefore the recommended alternative.

8.4. SOIL SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT AND AGRICULTURAL COMPLIANCE STATEMENT (JOHANN LANZ, SOIL ZA, MAY 2025) –

The overall conclusion of this assessment is that the proposed development enhances future agricultural production potential and therefore has a positive agricultural impact. The soils across the site are suitably similar for orchard establishment to the other recently established orchard adjacent to the site. They are predominantly reasonably drained podzol soils of the Groenkop 2110 soil form and family with approximately 8% clay in the A and B horizons. Depth to the underlying saprolite varies from 400 mm to 1000 mm. They are suitable for irrigated cropping once soil preparation that includes deep ripping has been done. Although the steep slopes make the land susceptible to erosion, it will be completely controlled through standard farming practices that are used on the adjacent, established orchards. This assessment confirms that the site is suitable and viable for irrigated orchards, and that the soil resources on the site will not be damaged by this activity.

From an agricultural impact point of view, it is recommended that the proposed development be approved. The conclusion of this assessment on the acceptability of the proposed development and the recommendation for its approval is not subject to any conditions.

8.5 SUMMARY OF MITIGATION MEASURES FOR NO-GO AREAS

1. Avoidance of the Intact forest (including a 50m buffer), Semi-intact fynbos and Degraded fynbos habitats which are of High and Medium sensitivity.
2. Avoidance of the subpopulation of *Leucospermum glabrum* (including a 100m buffer) and Sensitive species 419 on the eastern side of the site.
3. Avoidance of the freshwater features (including a 30m buffer) to ensure connectivity of lowland and upland habitat.
4. 'Search and Rescue' of the Sensitive species 419 from the western side of the site.
5. The outer edge of all buffers must be clearly demarcated and activities within the buffer must be avoided.




Figure 6: No-Go Areas (indicated in red) and Buffer Zones (Indicated in dashed blue lines) Map

8.6 MITIGATION MEASURES TO ADDRESS EUTROPHICATION RISKS

1. Fertiliser and Nutrient Management

- Soil and leaf analysis must be undertaken before any fertiliser application to guide nutrient budgeting.
- Apply fertilisers in split applications (smaller, more frequent doses) rather than single large doses.

- Use slow-release or organic fertilisers to reduce leaching and nutrient spikes.
- Prohibit fertiliser application within 30 m of wetlands and rivers (buffer zone).
- No application before heavy rainfall events to avoid nutrient wash-off.

2. Buffer Zones and Vegetative Barriers

- Maintain minimum 30 m vegetated buffers around wetlands, rivers, and drainage lines.
- Establish filter strips with indigenous grasses and shrubs downslope of orchards to intercept nutrients.
- Protect and rehabilitate Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) to strengthen natural nutrient absorption and filtration.

3. Stormwater and Runoff Control

- Implement contour drains, swales, and infiltration trenches to slow stormwater and promote nutrient uptake.
- Install sediment traps/settlement ponds at low points to capture particulate-bound nutrients before discharge.
- Ensure separation of clean and contaminated water to prevent mixing of natural runoff with nutrient-laden stormwater.

4. Pesticide and Herbicide Use

- Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach to minimise chemical dependency.
- No mixing, storage, or cleaning of chemical containers near watercourses.
- Empty agrochemical containers to be disposed of at a licensed hazardous waste facility.

5. Monitoring and Adaptive Management

- Conduct **quarterly** water quality monitoring (nitrates, phosphates, turbidity) upstream and downstream of the site. Submit findings to the Competent Authority and SANParks.
- Maintain a fertiliser application logbook to track type, amount, and timing of applications.
- Review monitoring results annually to adjust nutrient management practices if signs of eutrophication emerge.

6. Capacity Building

- Train farm workers in best practice fertiliser and agrochemical handling.
- Awareness training on the importance of protecting wetlands and rivers from nutrient loading.

9. LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

9.1 SIGNING OF THE EMPR

The acknowledgement form at the back of the approved EMPr is to be signed by the holder of the Environmental Authorisation (the Proponent), the Site Manager and the ECO; acknowledging that all parties are familiar with the requirements of the EMPr. All employees, especially the machine and equipment operators, are to be made aware of the conditions as contained in the EMPr as well as the contractual conditions relating to the environment as contained in the contract document.

9.2 LEGISLATION

Of importance are all national, provincial and municipal by-laws and regulations. Statutes are amended periodically, and it is the Proponent's responsibility to identify legislation relevant to the proposed activity.

LEGISLATION	ADMINISTERING AUTHORITY	TYPE
		Permit/ license/ authorization/comment
NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL	Department of Environmental Affairs	AUTHORISATION

MANAGEMENT ACT (ACT 107 OF 1998)	& Development Planning	
NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AMENDMENT ACT (ACT 62 OF 2008)	Department of Environmental Affairs & Development Planning	AUTHORISATION
NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT: BIODIVERSITY ACT (ACT NO 10 OF 2004)	SANParks, CapeNature and Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment: WC Forestry Branch	COMMENT
NATIONAL WATER ACT (ACT 36 OF 1998)	Department of Water Affairs/ Breede-Olifants Catchment Management Agency	COMMENT/ GENERAL AUTHORISATION
WESTERN CAPE NATURE CONSERVATION LAWS AMENDMENT ACT (ACT 3 OF 2000)	CapeNature	RELEVANT CONSIDERATION
CONSERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES ACT (ACT 43 OF 1983)	Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment: WC Agriculture Branch	COMMENT
NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT (ACT 25 OF 1999)	Heritage Western Cape	RELEVANT CONSIDERATION
OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT (ACT 85 OF 1993)	Department of Health	RELEVANT CONSIDERATION

Policies

National Environmental Management Act (NEMA, Act 107 of 1998)	<p>The proposed activity was assessed through a Basic Assessment Process under NEMA.</p> <p>Sustainable development principles (e.g. protection of biodiversity, efficient use of resources) have been integrated into the site design and mitigation measures.</p>
National Biodiversity Framework & Biodiversity Act (NEMBA)	<p>The development avoids Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) and Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) where possible.</p> <p>A 30 m buffer from wetlands and rehabilitation of degraded areas aligns with biodiversity conservation objectives.</p>

	Alien invasive species will be cleared and managed.
National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998)	<p>Water will be sourced from an existing lawful allocation, with no potable water used.</p> <p>Existing water use: the dam on the property was previously lawfully consolidated from 4 dams into 1 in 2019.</p> <p>Stormwater and pesticide runoff are managed through buffers, swales, and no-spray zones.</p> <p>The development avoids construction within wetland areas, and road crossings use culverts to maintain natural flow.</p>
George municipal spatial development framework 2023/27	<p>The site is zoned Agricultural I, and the use of the land for orchards is aligned with the SDF's designation for rural agricultural intensification.</p> <p>The project contributes to local food security, rural economic development, and job creation in line with SDF objectives.</p>
Western Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework (PSDF)	<p>Supports sustainable resource use (soil, water) and climate-resilient agriculture.</p> <p>The development avoids expansion into natural vegetation, aligning with PSDF biodiversity targets.</p>
Western Cape Biodiversity Spatial Plan (WCBSP 2017 and 2023)	<p>The layout was revised to avoid CBA1, CBA2, and wetland zones.</p> <p>Areas within buffers and no-go zones will be rehabilitated and managed for biodiversity enhancement.</p>
National Development Plan (2030)	The project supports job creation in rural areas, climate-smart agriculture, and low-impact land use, directly contributing to the NDP's goals.

9.3 PROJECT RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibility for the implementation of the EMPr lies with the Proponent who must retain the services of a suitably experienced independent Environmental Control Officer (ECO) who will monitor the construction process and rehabilitation/mitigation measures periodically.

The ECO's responsibilities must include, *inter alia*:

- ❖ To keep record of all activities on site, problems identified, transgressions noted, and a task schedule of tasks undertaken by the ECO.

- ❖ Secure the protection and rehabilitation of the environment.
- ❖ Guide, advise and consult the relevant authority on environmental issues during construction.
- ❖ Guide, advise and consult any sub-contractors, suppliers etc. who will be involved in this project.
- ❖ Revise the EMPr as required and inform the relevant parties of the changes. An amended EMPr must be subject to a public participation process, which has been agreed to by the Department, prior to submission of the amended/updated EMPr to the Department for approval.
- ❖ Ensure that the EMPr has been accepted and understood as a contractually binding document on all parties involved with this project.
- ❖ Ensure that staff operating equipment are adequately trained, certified and sensitised to any potential hazards associated with their tasks.
- ❖ Educate staff as to the need to refrain from indiscriminate waste disposal and/or pollution of local soil and water resources, ensure that they (the staff) have received the necessary safety training, and are aware of the importance of a "clean-site policy."
- ❖ The management guidelines contained in this document must form part of the contractual agreements between the Proponent, Site Manager and the ECO.

10. REPORTING PROCEDURES

10.1 DOCUMENTATION

The following documentation must be kept on site in order to record compliance with the EMPr:

An Environmental File which includes:

- ❖ Copy of the Environmental Authorisation;
- ❖ Copy of the approved EMPr
- ❖ Copy of all other licences/permits;
- ❖ Environmental Method Statements;
- ❖ Non-conformance Reports;
- ❖ Environmental register, which shall include:
 - Communications Register – including records of complaints, minutes and attendance registers of all environmental meetings;
 - Monitoring Results – including environmental monitoring reports, register of audits, non-conformance reports; and
 - Incident book – including copies of notification of Emergencies and Incidents, this must be accompanied by a photographic record.
- ❖ Waste Documentation such as, but not necessarily limited to: Waste Manifest Documents, Safe Disposal Certificates (SDCs) and Sewerage Disposal Receipts;
- ❖ Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) for all hazardous substances; and
- ❖ Written Corrective Action Instructions.

10.2 ENVIRONMENTAL REGISTER

The Proponent will put in place an Environmental Register and will ensure that the following information is recorded for all complaints / incidents:

- ❖ Nature of complaint / incident.

- ❖ Causes of complaint / incident.
- ❖ Party/parties responsible for causing complaint / incident.
- ❖ Immediate actions undertaken to stop / reduce / contain the causes of the complaint / incident.
- ❖ Additional corrective or remedial action taken and/or to be taken to address and to prevent reoccurrence of the complaint / incident.
- ❖ Timeframes and the parties responsible for the implementation of the corrective or remedial actions.
- ❖ Procedures to be undertaken and/or penalties to be applied if corrective or remedial actions are not implemented.
- ❖ Copies of all correspondence received regarding complaints/incidents.

10.3 NON-CONFORMANCE REPORT

A Non-Conformance Report (NCR) will be issued to the Proponent as a final step towards rectifying a failure in complying with a requirement of the EMP. This will be issued by the ECO to the Proponent in writing. Preceding the issuing of a NCR, the Proponent must be given an opportunity to rectify the issue.

Should the ECO assess an incident or issue and find it to be significant (e.g. non-repairable damage to the environment), it will be reported to the relevant authorities and immediately escalated to the level of a NCR. The following information should be recorded in the NCR:

- ❖ Details of non-conformance;
- ❖ Any plant or equipment involved;
- ❖ Any chemicals or hazardous substances involved;
- ❖ Work procedures not followed;
- ❖ Any other physical aspects;
- ❖ Nature of the risk;
- ❖ Actions agreed to by all parties following consultation to adequately address the non-conformance in terms of specific control measures and should take the hierarchy of controls into account;
- ❖ Agreed timeframe by which the actions documented in the NCR must be carried out; and
- ❖ The ECO should verify that the agreed actions have taken place by the agreed completion date. When completed satisfactorily, the ECO and Proponent should sign the Close-Out portion of the Non-Conformance Form and file it with the contract documentation.

10.4 ENVIRONMENTAL EMERGENCY RESPONSE

The Proponents environmental emergency procedures must ensure appropriate responses to unexpected / accidental actions / incidents that could cause environmental impacts.

The Environmental Emergency Response Plan is separate to the Health and Safety Plan as it is aimed at responding specifically to environmental incidents and must ensure and include the following:

- ❖ Employees shall be adequately trained in terms of incidents and emergency situations;
- ❖ Details of the organisation (i.e. manpower) and responsibilities, accountability and liability of personnel;
- ❖ A list of key personnel and contact numbers;
- ❖ Details of emergency services (e.g. the fire department / on-site fire detail, spill clean-up services) shall be listed;
- ❖ Internal and external communication plans, including prescribed reporting procedures;
- ❖ Actions to be taken in the event of different types of emergencies;
- ❖ Incident recording, progress reporting and remediation measures to be implemented; and
- ❖ Information on any hazardous materials, including the potential impact associated with each, and measures to be taken in the event of accidental release.

11. COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMPr

11.1 MONITORING AND COMPLIANCE

The monitoring and compliance of the development should take place as follows:

- ❖ The ECO has the authority to instruct the Proponent to cease a particular operation causing or liable to cause significant environmental damage, and issue fines or penalties for non-compliance of the Environmental Management Programme/ EMPr.
- ❖ The ECO must be involved with the identification and demarcation of the no-go areas (and buffer areas) to prevent any clearing activities in such areas.
- ❖ The ECO must during **construction** activities monitor the site **weekly during the initial development period. Visits by the ECO may taper, at the discretion of the ECO thereafter.**
- ❖ The ECO must prepare environmental monitoring reports **monthly**. These reports must contain photographic evidence and be submitted to **Compliance Monitoring** of the Department monthly.
- ❖ Water quality monitoring tests must be undertaken by the Proponent **quarterly** and the ECO is to ensure that the results are attached to the environmental monitoring report for submission to the Competent Authority and SANParks.
- ❖ An Independent Environmental Auditor must be appointed to submit an environmental audit report to the Department **within 30 days of completion of the construction phase** (i.e., within 30 days of site handover). Auditing requirements must comply with Regulation 34 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended).
- ❖ All documentation e.g. audit/monitoring/compliance reports and notifications, required to be submitted to the Department in terms of the Environmental Authorisation, must be submitted to the **Compliance Monitoring** of the Department.
- ❖ Environmental audit reports must be compiled in accordance with Appendix 7 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended and must indicate the date of the audit, the name of the auditor and the outcome of the audit in terms of compliance with the Environmental Authorisation conditions as well as the requirements of the approved EMPr.
- ❖ Operation of the activity – a written notification of operation must be given to the Department no later than **fourteen (14) days** prior to the commencement of the activity operational phase.
- ❖ An ECO must be appointed to submit **bi-annual** environmental monitoring reports to the Competent Authority for 2 years during the **operational phase**.

11.2 AUDITING PROCESS

The terms of reference for the audits must comprise the following:

- ❖ Develop a checklist against which the criteria can be referenced during the audit.
- ❖ During the audit process, key individuals involved with the management of the project are to be given the opportunity to comment on issues being audited and will be invited to accompany the auditor during the site inspection.
- ❖ Compile an audit report on the implementation of the EMPr and compliance to the Environmental Authorisation and submit this report to the competent authority (Department of Environment Affairs and Development Planning/ DEA&DP).

Compliance ratings against which the listed criteria are assessed are as follows:

Symbol	Rating	Interpretation
Y	Yes	Evidence of compliance
P	Partial	Evidence of partial compliance
N	No	Evidence of non-compliance
NR	Not Relevant	The condition or commitment is not relevant at this stage of the development or it is inappropriate
NA	Not Audited	Not audited

11.3 NON-COMPLIANCE

Definition

The non-compliance is defined as, and will be issued for:

- ❖ Any deviation by the Proponent from the environmental conditions and requirements as set out in the EA and EMPr - or;
- ❖ Any contravention by the Proponent of environmental legislation - or;
- ❖ Any unforeseen environmental impact resulting from direct or indirect actions or activities on site that would be considered as a significant impact. Significance will be determined by the Environmental Control Officer (ECO) but will be informed by geographic extent, duration, lasting effects of the impact and extent of remediation to the impact.

Types of non-compliances issued

Two types of non-compliances may be issued:

A. Stop Works Non-Compliance

Stop Works Non-Compliance will require that all works as described in the non-compliance will stop immediately and may only continue on a formal written permission from the ECO.

Stop Works Non-Compliance will be issued under the following conditions:

- ❖ Total disregard by the Proponent to the environmental conditions and requirements listed in the EA and EMPr;
- ❖ An activity that if left unattended will escalate the degree, severity or extent of the environmental impact.

B. General Non-Compliance

A general non-compliance will allow work and activity by the receiving party to continue while the corrective action takes place.

11.4 ISSUING A NON-COMPLIANCE

Non-compliance may be issued to:

- ❖ The Proponent
- ❖ Any representative of the Proponent

11.5 PROCESS OF ISSUING NON-COMPLIANCE

The appointed Environmental Control Officer (ECO) may issue a formal non-compliance to the Proponent. A copy of the non-compliance issued will be placed in the EMPr file. The Proponent will be responsible for returning a formally signed off corrective action (as per template) to the ECO to be placed in the EMPr file. The ECO will be required to sign-off on the corrective action, indicating that it has been completed within the timeframes and to the satisfaction of the ECO.

11.6 FAILURE TO COMPLETE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

In the event that the Proponent fails or refuses to complete the corrective action, either at all or within the allocated timeframe, the ECO shall,

- ❖ Inform DEA&DP in writing that a condition of approval for the project is not being met.

The DEA&DP office is responsible for resolving the impasse with the Proponent.

The Proponent is deemed not to have complied with the EA and EMPr if:

- ❖ Within the boundaries of the site and site extensions there is evidence of contravention of clauses;
- ❖ Environmental damage occurs due to negligence; inappropriate actions taken by the Proponent or any of his staff.

On receiving a notice of non-compliance the Proponent is required to swiftly address the issue/s taking all corrective actions required to rectify the situation. Penalties will be applied for non-compliant situations. Penalties/fines are advocated to ensure corrective measures are successfully undertaken and the necessary standard of rehabilitation is achieved.

Penalties associated with a non-compliance is not a set amount but will depend on the nature and extent of the impact. The cost of any soil and /or groundwater monitoring and any soil and /or groundwater remediation required by authorities will be to the Proponent's account.

The imposition of such a penalties / fines shall not preclude the relevant competent authority from applying an additional penalty in accordance with statutory powers.

Failure to redress the cause shall be reported to the relevant authority for them to deal with the transgression as deemed fit.

11.7 UNLAWFUL ACTIVITY/IES

Section 28 (15) of NEMA entitles authorities to administer a fine not exceeding R1 million or to imprisonment for a period not exceeding 1 year or both such a fine and imprisonment.

Section 31N of NEMA entitles environmental authorities to administer a fine not exceeding R 5 million or 10 years imprisonment and/or a fine and imprisonment for a person guilty of an unlawful activity. The Act makes allowance for the rectification of unlawful activity and may charge up to R1 million administration fees over and above the remediation costs.

NEMA makes provision for damages to be awarded by the courts where loss or damage has occurred as a result of a contravention of other environmental statutes. Importantly, NEMA provides for the liability of conviction of employees, managers, agents and directors for any offences resulting from the failure to take all the reasonable steps that were necessary under the circumstances to prevent the commission of an offence.

12. AMENDMENTS TO THE EMPr

This EMPr outlines the environmental practices and mitigation measures to be adhered to during the construction, operational, and rehabilitation phases; in order to curtail and/or minimise potential negative impacts and promote sound environmental practises.

Any significant issues not covered in the EMPr as submitted, will be addressed as an addendum to this EMPr, and submitted for approval. The EMPr is a living document and is subject to change from time to time in consultation with the DEA&DP. Any amendments to the EMPr will require approval from the DEA&DP.

13. ENFORCING THE EMPr

The holder of the Environmental Authorisation (EA) has a responsibility to ensure that all those people involved in the project are aware of and familiar with the environmental requirements for the project (this includes casual labour, etc.). The EA and approved EMPr shall be part of the terms of reference for all stakeholders.

All senior and supervisory staff members shall familiarise themselves with the full contents of the EA and approved EMPr. They shall know and understand the specifications of the EA and approved EMPr and shall be able to assist other staff members in matters relating to the EA and approved EMPr.

14. ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME

14.1 CONSTRUCTION PHASE

Activity	Management / Mitigation	Responsibility	Frequency / Timing
Authorisations, Licences and Permits	Environmental Authorisations		
	All necessary authorisations, permits and licences must be obtained by the Proponent prior to the commencement of construction.	Proponent	Once-off
Appointment of Construction Team	Appointment of Contractor		
	The Developer must ensure that this EMPr forms part of any contractual agreements with a Contractor(s) and sub-contractors for the execution of the proposed project. The Contractor must make adequate provision in their budgets for the implementation of the EMPr.	Developer & Contractor	Once-off
	The Principal Contractor (including sub-contractors and suppliers) must comply with the relevant provisions of the EMPr, applicable environmental legislations, by-laws and associated regulations promulgated in terms of these laws.		
	Local labourers should be used for such methods.		
	Appointment of Environmental Control Officer		
	An Independent ECO must be appointed at the Proponent's cost to monitor the implementation of the EMPr.	Proponent, Site Manager & ECO	Once-off
	The nomination of the ECO must be given to DEA&DP in writing 14 days prior to commencement. Commencement in this case includes site clearing. The notification must include contact details for the ECO, details pertaining to the ECO's relevant experience, the date on which it is anticipated that the activity will commence, as well as a reference number.		
	Should the ECO for the development change at any time, this must be communicated, in writing, to DEA&DP, within fourteen (14) days of appointing the new ECO. The notification must include contact details for the ECO, details pertaining to the ECO's relevant experience and reasons for the change in ECO.		As required
Preparation of Method Statements	Method Statements		
	Method Statements must be submitted by the Proponent to the ECO and must be adhered to by the Proponent. These relate to water and stormwater management requirements, solid waste management requirements, the storage of hazardous materials (if applicable), standard emergency procedures, and fire management.	Proponent	Once-off
	The ECO will monitor the implementation of the Statements.	ECO	On-going
Notifying Relevant I&APs	Notice of Environmental Authorisation (EA)		
	A written notice must be given to all relevant I&APs notifying them of the EA. The notice must include a date on which the EA was received and the reference number for the EA.	Proponent	Once-off – pre-construction
Education of Site Staff on General and Environmental Conduct <i>A general regard for the social and ecological</i>	Environmental Awareness and Training		
	Staff must be adequately educated by the ECO as to the provisions included in the EMPr, and in terms of general environmentally-friendly practice.	ECO & Site Manager	Once-off and as required
	The ECO & Site Manager must ensure that all staff, and if applicable, Contractors / Sub-contractors / Suppliers / Service Providers are trained on the environmental,		

Activity	Management / Mitigation	Responsibility	Frequency / Timing
wellbeing of the site and adjacent areas is expected of the site staff.	occupational safety and/or legal responsibilities expected from them.		
	The training must take into account language and literacy requirements as well as measures to determine the effectiveness of the training.		
	Proof of training must be attached to the ECO's audit reports.		
	Consideration of the implications of the EA and EMPr must form part of the formal site induction for all contractors, sub-contractors and casual labourers, preferably in their native language.		
	The induction training will, as a minimum, include the following:		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The importance of conformance with all environmental policies; ➤ The environmental impacts, actual or potential, of their work activities; ➤ The environmental benefits of improved personal performance; ➤ Their roles and responsibilities in achieving conformance with the environmental policy and procedures and with the requirement of the Consultant's environmental management systems, including emergency preparedness and response requirements; and ➤ The mitigation measures required to be implemented when carrying out their work activities. 		
	All contractors, sub-contractors and casual labourers must acknowledge their understanding of the EMPr and environmental responsibilities by signing an induction attendance record.	ECO & Site Manager	Once-off
	Staff operating equipment shall be adequately trained and sensitised to any potential hazards associated with their tasks.	Proponent & Site Manager	During staff induction, followed by on-going monitoring
	Translators are to be used where necessary during staff training.	Site Manager	
	Use of environmental awareness posters on site is advocated.	Site Manager	On-going monitoring
	Staff must be made aware that they are not to make excessive noise e.g. shouting, hooting.		
	All employees must undergo the necessary safety training and wear the necessary protective clothing at all times.		
	No alcohol / drugs to be present on site; no vehicles or machinery are to be operated whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs.		
	No firearms allowed on site or in vehicles transporting staff to / from the site (unless used by security personnel).		
	No unsocial behaviour will be permitted.		
	Bringing pets onto site is forbidden.		
	Staff must make use of facilities provided for them, as opposed to ad-hoc alternatives (e.g. fires for cooking, the use of surrounding bush as a toilet facility is strictly forbidden). No fires are permitted on site.		

Activity	Management / Mitigation	Responsibility	Frequency / Timing
	Trespassing on private / commercial properties adjoining the site is forbidden.		
	No worker may be forced to do work that is potentially dangerous or for what he / she is not so trained		
	The Site Manager is to ensure that conditions of the EMPr are included in the Toolbox Talks.		
Site Management	Access	Site Manager	On-going
	No vehicles may drive onto the adjacent properties and any other no-go areas.		
	All no-go areas will be indicated during Toolbox Talks and/or indicated with warning signs in all relevant languages.		
	Site Management	Site Manager	On-going
	Adequate drainage and erosion protection must be provided around the site and where necessary.		
	Access points and other cleared surfaces must be dampened whenever necessary and especially in dry and windy conditions to avoid excessive dust. Alternatively, a binding product such as Dustex (supplied by Patch Industrial Supplies) could be used.		
	No-go areas (this includes buffers to protect water sources) must be identified and clearly cordoned off prior to the start of construction.		
Sewage and Sanitation	Ablutions	Site Manager	Immediately & on-going
	Toilets must be no closer than 32m from any watercourse. Such facilities, which shall comply with local authority regulations, shall be maintained in a clean and hygienic condition. Their use shall be strictly enforced. They must be positioned in an appropriate place, also taking into consideration, gradient of the land.		On-going
	The Site Manager must ensure that toilets are cleaned weekly or more frequently, if required.		
	Unauthorised spilling of waste into the environment and burying of waste is strictly prohibited.		
	Ablution facilities must not cause any pollution to any water resource and it must not be a health hazard to the general public.		
Social Impacts	Communication between Site Manager, Site Staff and I&APs	Site Manager	Immediately and on-going
	A complaints register must be kept on site. Details of complaints must be incorporated into the audits as part of the monitoring process. This must be in 3 carbon copy format, with numbered pages.		On-going
	Should the staff be approached by members of the public or other stakeholders, they must assist them in locating the Site Manager, or provide a number on which they may contact the Proponent/ Site Manager.		
	The conduct of the staff when dealing with the public or stakeholders shall be in a manner that is polite and courteous at all times.		
	Drivers of heavy-duty vehicles must exercise care when travelling to and from the site – and adhere to all legally enforceable requirements.		Immediately and as required
	Due to the concentration of a workforce in the area, the Site Manager must implement an HIV/AIDS Awareness Programme on site. The Site Manager must appoint an HIV/AIDS Awareness Officer for the duration of the construction period. Activities for HIV/AIDS awareness and prevention will be broad based, targeting both individuals and groups.		

Activity	Management / Mitigation	Responsibility	Frequency / Timing
	<p>They may consist of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Peer educators (reference people) drawn from the local labour force and trained in HIV/AIDS issues for discussions with colleagues (estimate 1 per 30 employees); ➤ Small focus group discussions and information covering key issues should be held; ➤ Inclusion of HIV/AIDS activities at site meetings and other discussions; and ➤ Voluntary Counselling and Testing. <p>Education will cover:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Stigma and discrimination issues; ➤ Preventative behaviours including on-site safety and awareness; and ➤ Referral to local health centres and services available. 		
Equipment lay-down and storage	Storage Areas		
	<p>Choice of location for equipment lay-down and storage areas must take into account prevailing winds, distances to water bodies, general on-site topography and water erosion potential of the soil. Impervious surfaces, bunded areas or drip trays must be provided where necessary.</p> <p>Equipment lay-down and storage areas must be designated, demarcated and signed.</p>	Site Manager	On-going
Conservation of the Natural Environment	Erosion and Stormwater Control		
	Soil disturbance must be restricted to the current extent of the project, unless for the removal of alien invasive plants.	Site Manager & ECO	Throughout the duration of the project
	Storm water control must be undertaken to prevent soil loss from the site.		Immediately
	Erosion prevention and control measures must be implemented. These control measures must be advised by the ECO as control measures are unique to site, activity, and dependent on severity and extent.		On-going
	Provision shall be made for storm water management measures that will ensure effective run-off control and prevent erosion at run-off points and ponding.		
	Continuous monitoring for evidence of erosion must be undertaken around the site.		
	Earth, stone or rubble is to be properly disposed of so as not to obstruct natural water pathways over the site.		
	Stormwater management must ensure that flow from the development does not result in negative impacts.		On-going
	Fauna and Flora		
	Areas which are identified by the Environmental Control Officer (ECO) as being ecologically sensitive and which are adjacent to the site are to be suitably demarcated to prevent damage during construction practices. These areas are to be recognised as "no-go" areas.	ECO & Site Manager	Immediately
	No natural vegetation may be cleared without prior permission from the ECO and if applicable from any relevant authority. Indigenous vegetation that is removed is to be replanted either back to the point from which it was taken or must be replaced by new		On-going

Activity	Management / Mitigation	Responsibility	Frequency Timing
	relevant indigenous vegetation.		On-going
	The ECO must identify and make known to the team all Red Data listed vegetation species. All permits for the removal/ translocation of the identified protected vegetation species must be obtained prior to any ground clearance from the Department of Forestry (DFFE).		
	All alien invasive plant species must be continuously removed around the site. The best way to do this is to remove the plants from the roots by hand and leave the plants in the sun to dry out and die before disposal. Please refer to the appended Alien Plant Control Programme for specific methods of removal.	ECO & Site Manager	Immediate and On-going
	When removing alien invasive plants from the riparian area, caution must be taken to ensure that indigenous species are not being removed and all embankments are stable. Indigenous plants must be planted immediately to rehabilitate these areas.		
	Disturbance to birds, animals and reptiles and their habitats must be minimized wherever possible.	Site Manager	
Conservation of Water Resources	Water Sources		
	Under no circumstances may any materials or waste generated from the project be disposed of into the adjacent riparian areas, including the buffer zone.	Site Manager	On-going
	All parked vehicles/ trucks must have drip trays placed underneath the vehicle where potential leaks may occur.	Site Manager	On-going
Waste Management	On-Site Waste Management		
	The excavation and use of rubbish pits is forbidden.		On-going and monitored weekly
	Burning of waste is forbidden. <i>A possible exception to this may be that the alien invasive vegetation which is removed from the site should be burned to prevent the spread of the plants; however, permission to burn AIPs must first be obtained from the competent authority and other conservation boards. The transportation of Alien Invasive Plants is strictly forbidden in terms of the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (CARA), especially if in seed; unless stored in a completely sealed container.</i>		
	Littering on the site is forbidden and the site shall be cleared of litter at the end of each working day.		On-going monitoring
	An adequate number of general waste bins must be arranged around the site to collect all domestic refuse, and to minimise littering.		
	Solid waste must be managed and separated into recyclable and non-recyclable materials and disposed of accordingly.		
	All waste generated during the construction phase is to be disposed of at a facility registered in terms of section 20(b) of the National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008).		
Handling of Hazardous Materials (if necessary)	Hazardous Materials		
	Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) shall be readily available on site for all chemicals and hazardous substances to be used on site. Where possible and available, MSDSs must additionally include information on ecological impacts and measures to minimize negative environmental impacts during accidental releases or escapes.	Site Manager	On-going
	Cement and other potential environmental pollutants must be stored within an impermeable bunded, roofed and sign posted area.		

Activity	Management / Mitigation	Responsibility	Frequency / Timing
	<p>Cement and other potential environmental pollutants must be mixed on an impermeable surface that is bunded to prevent the leakage of pollutants onto the ground (if necessary).</p> <p>All empty contaminated containers must be stored within a hazardous bunded area until collection by a reputable hazardous waste collection company. Waybills must be presented to the ECO for review and filing purposes.</p> <p>No vehicles transporting hazardous materials to the site may be washed on or near site. They must return to the supplier of such material to be cleaned out.</p>		
Cultural Environment	Archaeology and Artefacts		
	No structures older than sixty years or parts thereof are allowed to be demolished altered or extended without a permit from Heritage Western Cape.	Site Manager	On-going
	The Fossil Finds Procedure (FFP) must be followed: If fossil bones are uncovered during excavations, stop work and report to Heritage Western Cape (HWC) immediately.		
Safety and Security	Safety and Security On-Site		
	Material stockpiles or stacks must be stable and well secured to avoid collapse and possible injury to site workers / local residents.	Site Manager	On-going
	Firefighting equipment must be present on site at all times. All equipment on site must be used in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act regulations of South Africa (OHSA), Act No. 85 of 1993; staff must be trained in firefighting procedures.		
	No unauthorised person may be permitted to enter the site without prior permission of the site manager.		
	Vehicle speeds shall not exceed 20km/h when traversing unconsolidated and non-vegetated areas.		
	The proponent should register with the Southern Cape Fire Protection Association		

14.2 REHABILITATION AND OPERATIONAL PHASE

Activity	Management / Mitigation	Responsibility	Frequency / Timing
Vegetation Rehabilitation – progressive rehabilitation must be carried out	Vegetation		
	All disturbed areas, or areas which have been disturbed for the purpose of the activity, and are not part of the cultivation fields, are to be re-vegetated. This will aid in preventing erosion within the site. A 100% indigenous planting plan must be adhered to in terms of all planting carried out on the site. Consultation must be made with a Botanical Specialist for a site-specific vegetation list.	Contractor & ECO	Project completion
	Erosion prevention and control measures must be implemented. Organic mulch or sand bags must be used to contain all sediment and prevent erosion during rehabilitation.	Contractor	Rehabilitation
	All rehabilitated areas must be maintained through weekly inspections until a 100% success rate has been achieved.	Contractor & ECO	Post Construction/ Maintenance Phase

Activity	Management / Mitigation	Responsibility	Frequency / Timing
	Encroachment of invasive alien plants in this regard will need to be monitored on a regular basis to prevent re-infestation. This would need to be undertaken by the ECO or a designated specialist.	Developer, Contractor & ECO	Project completion and Maintenance
Land Rehabilitation	Land		
	Rehabilitation must be executed in such a manner that surface runoff will not cause erosion of disturbed areas during and after rehabilitation.	Contractor & ECO	Project completion
	Any rubble is to be removed from site to an appropriate disposal site. Burying of rubble on site is prohibited.	Contractor	Project completion
	The site is to be cleared of all litter.	Developer & Contractor	Project completion and Maintenance
	The surface of all disturbed areas must be left rough to facilitate binding of topsoil and vegetation.	Contractor	Progressive rehabilitation and on Project completion
Removal and Repair of Materials and Infrastructure	Materials and Infrastructure		
	All material used for construction must be removed from site after construction.	Contractor	Project completion
	The Contractor must repair any damage that the construction works may have caused to adjacent areas.	Contractor	Project completion
	Fences, barriers and demarcations associated with the construction phase are to be removed from the site unless stipulated otherwise by the ECO.	Contractor	Project completion
	All areas where temporary services were installed are to be rehabilitated to the satisfaction of the ECO.	Contractor	Project completion
Stormwater Management	Stormwater		
	Any negative stormwater effects, related to the construction phase, must be remediated.	Contractor	Project completion
	On-going monitoring and assessing of stormwater drainage must occur on site during the operational phase of the proposed project.	Developer	During Operational phase
Waste	Removal of Hazardous and Non-Hazardous Waste		
	All hazardous materials and containers must be collected by a reputable hazardous waste collection company and disposed of appropriately.	Contractor	Project completion
	Collection and disposal of non-hazardous waste to a registered landfill site must occur at least once a week.	Developer	During Operational phase
Fire Management	Fire		
	A Fire Management Plan must be implemented on the property. The landowner must register with the Southern Cape Fire Protection Agency/SCFPA to ensure that the property has addressed all necessary fire management protocols.	Landowner	During Operational phase

14.3 FINANCIAL PROVISION FOR REHABILITATION

In accordance with Section 24N(2)(a) of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), the applicant, Wilderness Fruit (Pty) Ltd, shall ensure that adequate financial provision is made for the rehabilitation of the environment affected by the activity.

This financial provision must:

- Cover costs for rehabilitation and post-construction monitoring.
- Be reviewed annually and adjusted to account for inflation or changes in project scope.

15. ALIEN PLANT CONTROL

***The below information is a broad instructional on alien invasive plant removal. Attached to this EMPr is a site-specific programme that must be utilised.**

Benefits of control

- Elimination of spread of these species into non-affected areas.
- Improvement of water quality and quantity.
- Legal compliance: landowners are required to eradicate or control declared weed and alien invader plants in terms of the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act 43 of 1983 and the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act 10 of 2004.
- Improvement of biodiversity in conservation areas. Fast growing invader plants suppress indigenous flora, with a resultant loss in overall biodiversity.
- Commercial reasons: alien vegetation can spread from conservation areas into production land resulting in greater weed control costs.

Important factors influencing the effectiveness of a control programme

- Timely implementation of control operations is important for alien plants.
- Operations must be directed towards killing alien vegetation. This is best achieved by using an effective herbicide chosen by the ECO and applied by using the "cut-stump; frilling or ring barking methods. Under no circumstances may spraying with a "Rose" or multi-stream nozzle head be done.

Requirements for an effective alien vegetation control programme

- Identify the problem: extent, location and species of problem plant.
- Divide the problem areas into manageable units, taking budget and resource constraints into account.
- Identify any sensitive ecosystems, rare or endangered plants etc. which may be affected by a control programme. Identify the original ecosystem applicable to the area.
- Make provision for a number of follow up operations. The initial clearing operation is only part of the total programme. Failure to follow up will result in a failure of the entire programme.

While the importance of removing or clearing of alien or exotic vegetation is recognised, there should be control over the way in which this takes place. Often what generally appears to be covered by alien vegetation, actually contains pockets of sensitive vegetation or protected species. It is for this reason that clearing of such areas must be undertaken by hand (Guidelines for the Control and Management of Activities in Sensitive Coastal Areas, first edition, 1998).

It is important to note that all of the above must be performed with instruction by a suitably qualified Botanical Specialist, as well as in the presence of the specialist.

Alien Invasive Plant species present on site:

Plant Species	NEMBA Category
Catharanthus roseus	1b
Hakea sericea	1b
Lantana camara	1b
Paraserianthes lophantha	1b
Phytolacca octandra	1b
Senna septemtrionalis	1b
Solanum mauritianum	1b

Alien Vegetation Clearing can be broken down into the following PHASES:

PHASE 1: Removal by cutting, excavating, burning, ringbarking, hand pulling, herbicide spraying and biological measures.

PHASE 2: The removal of all biomass by either burning, chipping or removing usable material.

PHASE 3: (Follow up) which is critical to the success of the AIS clearing to achieve the following:

- Rehabilitation of the infested area to its natural or near natural state or
- To exercise the land rights as per the agricultural rights (horticultural or agricultural purposes).

PHASE 4: Implement a long term maintenance plan in order to combat further germination of AIS as a result of:

- The seedbank has been exposed and disturbed as a result of clearing, this will result in germination of the seeds from within the AIS seedbank in situ.
- The resulting germination rate and density will far higher than the original infestation.
- There will still be further germination of seeds disbursed by wind /birds from surrounding properties that are infested with AIS.

Types of Recommended Treatments for AIS

1. Felling and Herbicide Treatment:

- This method applies to AIS that can regenerate by coppicing (regrow from the cut stump). When felling. Always cut the AIS as horizontal and close to the ground as possible so as not to leave sharp points that could be a danger to others.
- A registered herbicide with the Department of Agriculture is then applied to the cut stump.
- A sticker agent may also be needed depending on the type of herbicide used plus the use of vegetable dye should be added to your herbicide mix to allow for tracking of what has and what has not been sprayed.
- Herbicide when used in this method is applied via solid cone nozzle the herbicide must be applied to the cut stump as soon as possible to allow the herbicide to be absorbed by the plant via the xylum phloem canals (a plants version of veins and arteries).
- These veins are found cambium layer which is the area between the bark and the wood, and this is where the herbicide must be applied. i.e the outer rim of the cut stump.
- Cut material (biomass) needs to be removed / stacked depending further use or burnt / chipped. When felling AIS don't to block riparian zones with cut material.

2. Felling:

- This applies to species of invasive plants that cannot regenerate by coppicing e.g. most pine species. As with treatment 1 cut as horizontally and close to the ground as possible.

- Cut material (biomass) needs to be removed / stacked depending further use or burnt / chipped. When felling AIS don't block riparian zones with cut material.

3. Ringbarking:

- Used on AIS in areas where it is impossible to remove the biomass or where felling would damage the surrounding indigenous habitat.

- This involves simply cutting a ring half a meter up the trees trunk exposing cambium layer then painting the exposed cambium layer with approved herbicide from the Department of Agriculture.

4. Folio Spraying with Herbicide:

- This method is mainly restricted to follow up phases over areas where the seed bank has germinated on mass.

- When doing this wait till the newly germinated AIS have reached a height of 1 meters as at this point of growth this will result in killing the early and late germinating seedlings.

- This process will have to be repeated depending on the depth of the seedbank which correlates to the frequency of AIS germination.

5. Hoeing or pulling seedlings by hand:

- This method should be a way of life i.e. if AIS species is observed, hand pulling is recommended where possible. It is best to pull by hand after rainfall.

- This method also applies to areas that are sensitive, e.g. riparian zones where herbicide is not allowed or areas where the use of an herbicide could harm surrounding natural ecosystems or commercial crops.

Monitoring

Monitoring involves repeated observations or recording of data to be able to track progress and determine the efficacy of control methods. A very basic monitoring programme applies to private land.

WHAT	FREQUENCY	HOW	RESPONSE
How effective are the control measure	4-6months after every operation	Survey cleared areas and look for regrowth	Continue with methods or adapt to be more effective
Do the infestation levels decrease	Annually	Visual, photos	Continue clearing
How much herbicides were used	After every operation	Herbicides records	Keep track of cost and ensure no wastage
Does fynbos / forest recover in cleared area	Annually	Photos, surveys	If it does, look at clearing methods, clearing intervals or consult an expert.

Objectives

Objective 1: Prevention

To put measures into place for prevent the introduction of new NEMBA listed plants and animals onto the property, and invasive species from spreading from neighbouring properties.

Preventative action:

- No listed invasive and alien plant will be planted
- Areas bordering onto neighboring land will be prioritized for control to prevent existing invasive plants from spreading beyond the boundaries of the property
- No listed invade animal species will be introduced to property.

- These prevention measures will be communicated to all users of the property (where applicable)

Objective 2: Early detection and rapid response (EDRR)

To put measures into place whereby new and secondary invasive species are detected early and removed before establishing sustainable populations and start spreading.

Early detections and rapid response actions:

- Regularly survey property to detect any new or emerging invasive plant species.
- Report category 1a species immediately to the Department of Environmental Affairs and ask for assistance with control of the species.
- Do not allow new or emerging species to produce seeds or off-spring, or start growing vegetatively, act immediately by removing them.
- Update list by including these species and indicate where on the property they were located.
- Increase surveillance in the area where species occur to ensure the plant don't re-sprout or re-occur

Objective 3: Restrictive activity and duty of care

To adhere to the restrictive activity and duty of care as determined by NEMBA & Regulations concerning invasive and alien species

Action NEMBA Regulations (6a-g) restricted Activities:

- Prevent spreading or allowing spread of, any specimen of a listed invasive species.

While the importance of removing or clearing of alien or exotic vegetation is recognised, there should be control over the way in which this takes place. Often what generally appears to be covered by alien vegetation, actually contains pockets of sensitive vegetation or protected species. It is for this reason that clearing of such areas must be undertaken by hand (*Guidelines for the Control and Management of Activities in Sensitive Coastal Areas, first edition, 1998*).

It is important to note that all of the above must be performed with instruction by a suitably qualified Botanical Specialist, as well as in the presence of the specialist.

16. SPECIFIC INFORMATION THAT MAY BE REQUIRED BY THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY

In compliance with Appendix 4(1)(n) of the EIA Regulations, the following mitigation measures were incorporated into the EMPr:

1. Application of a 30m wetland buffer - Section 7
2. Appointment of an Environmental Control Officer (ECO) - Section 9.3 and 14
3. Invasive Alien Plant (IAP) management plan – Appendix C

Where further information is required or new conditions are added to the Environmental Authorisation, the EMPr will be amended accordingly.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FORM

Record of signatures providing acknowledgment of being aware of and committed to complying with the contents of this Environmental Management Programme (EMPr), which relates to the environmental mitigation measures for the project outlined below, and the environmental conditions contained in all other contract documents.

PROJECT NAME:

**PROPOSED CULTIVATION OF 11 HECTARES OF LAND TO PLANT MACADAMIA AND AVOCADO TREES ON ERF
385, SEVEN PASSES ROAD, HOEKWIL, GEORGE MUNICIPALITY, WESTERN CAPE**

PROPONENT:

Signed: Date:

SITE MANAGER:

Signed: Date:

ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL OFFICER

Signed: Date:

APPENDIX A: CV OF EAP

APPENDIX B: SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

APPENDIX C: ALIEN INVASIVE PLANT REMOVAL PROGRAMME

APPENDIX D: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

CURRICULUM VITAE (CV)

Position Title and No.	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
Name:	Samantha Janine Teeluckdhari, née Robertson
Date of Birth:	05/12/1991
Country of Citizenship/Residence	South Africa

Education: Bachelor of Social Science degree in Geography and Environmental Management

Institution: University of KwaZulu-Natal

Year: (January 2010 – December 2012).

Employment record relevant to the assignment:

Period	Employing organization and your title/position.	Country	Summary of activities performed relevant to the Assignment
2013 -2014	Afzelia Environmental Consultants - Junior Environmental Practitioner	South Africa	<p>Environmental Auditing, Environmental Management Programmes, Environmental Desktop Studies, Basic Assessment Reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Road works - Retail development - Infrastructure construction and upgrades - Water Treatment Works - Gauteng Tourism
2016 - present	Eco Route Environmental Consultancy - Environmental Practitioner	South Africa	<p>Environmental Impact Assessments & Environmental Impact Reports pertaining to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residential Developments • Agricultural Practises • Water use license applications • Air quality license applications • Permit applications for developments in identified sensitive areas <p>Environmental Management Programmes/ Maintenance Management Plan & Licenses pertaining to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residential Developments • Agricultural Practises • Coastal protection management • Water use license applications • Alien Invasive Plant Management Programmes • Air quality license applications • Permit applications for developments in identified sensitive areas <p>GIS Mapping using the following software:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cape Farm Mapper • QGIS

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planet GIS • SANBI BGIS
--	--	--	--

Language Skills:

Languages	Speaking	Reading	Writing
English	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent

Adequacy for the Assignment:

Detailed Tasks Assigned on Consultant's Team of Experts:	Reference to Prior Work/Assignments that Best Illustrates Capability to Handle the Assigned Tasks
Environmental Impact Assessments & Section 24G EIR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. BAR – Residential dwelling on Erf 23, Cape St Francis, EC (EAP) 2. BAR – Residential dwelling on Erf 62, Cape St Francis, EC (EAP) 3. BAR – Residential dwelling on Erf 154, Cape St Francis, EC (EAP) 4. BAR – Residential dwelling on Erf 347, Cape St Francis, EC (EAP) 5. BAR – Residential dwelling on Erf 8 Konkiebaai, EC (in progress - assistant EAP & reviewer) 6. BAR – Proposed Residential Apartments, Erf 155 Keurbooms, WC (in progress – EAP) 7. BAR – Residential dwelling on Erf 761 Brenton, Knysna, WC (EAP) 8. BAR – Demolition and reconstruction of a residential dwelling, Erf 1256, St Francis Bay, EC (in progress – assisting EAP) 9. BAR – Residential dwelling on Erf 1510, St Francis Bay, EC (in progress – assisting EAP) 10. Desktop Study – Proposed town houses, Erf 2301, Albertina (EAP) 11. S24G – Construction of a dam on Portion 3 of the farm 71 Roodeheuwel, Oudtshoorn, WC (EAP) 12. S24G - Clearance of indigenous vegetation, repair and enlargement of a dam, and the altering of watercourses On Portions 17 And 19 of Farm Avontuur 166, Hoekwil, George, Western Cape (assistant EAP) 13. S24G – Clearance of indigenous vegetation on Portion 7 of the farm Wittedrift NO.306, Plettenberg Bay, WC 14. S24G – Construction and operation of a sawmill & kiln, the Remainder of farm 288 Buffelsrivier, The Craggs, WC (EAP) 15. S24G – Construction of an in-stream dam and infilling/blocking of a watercourse, Farm 178 Klaarstroom, Prince Albert, WC 16. Screening – Monkeyland KZN (EAP) 17. BAR - Residential dwelling on Erf 406, Oyster Bay, EC (EAP) 18. BAR – Residential apartments on RE/3420, St Francis Bay, EC (assistant EAP) 19. S24G – Clearance of indigenous vegetation, expansion & clearance of littoral vegetation from in-stream dams, Portions

	<p>66 and 9 of farm Redford no.232, Bitou Municipality, WC (EAP)</p> <p>20. EA Part 2 Amendment – Raphaeli Waldorf School, Plettenberg Bay, WC (EAP)</p> <p>21. Screening - Gauteng Tourism: West Rand Birding Route (EAP)</p> <p>22. Screening - Gauteng Tourism: Johannesburg Cross-Border Shoppers Precinct (EAP)</p> <p>23. Screening - Hammersdale Infrastructure Upgrades Project (EAP)</p>
Environmental Management Programmes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dippenaar boardwalk, Portion 111 on the farm Brakkloof no.443 and a portion of Erf 2132, Plettenberg Bay, WC (assistant EAP) 2. The reconstruction of a residential dwelling, Portion 161 Of the Farm Uitzicht no. 216, Knysna, WC (assistant EAP) 3. Residential dwelling on Erf 23, Cape St Francis, EC (EAP) 4. Residential dwelling on Erf 62, Cape St Francis, EC (EAP) 5. Residential dwelling on Erf 154, Cape St Francis, EC (EAP) 6. Residential dwelling on Erf 347, Cape St Francis, EC (EAP) 7. Residential dwelling on Erf 761 Brenton, Knysna, WC (EAP) 8. The reconstruction of Featherbed Restaurant, Portion 59 of farm 216, Knysna, WC (EAP) 9. S24G – Construction of a dam on Portion 3 of the farm 71 Roodeheuwel, Oudtshoorn, WC (EAP) 10. Construction of a grass berm around Lake Brenton Estate, Portion 92 of 53 of farm Uitzicht no.216 (assistant EAP) 11. MMP - Lake Brenton Estate sea wall repairs (EAP) 12. Dune stabilization, RE/1692, Sedgefield, WC (assistant EAP) 13. Residential dwelling on Erf 406, Oyster Bay, EC (EAP) 14. Residential apartments on RE/3420, St Francis Bay, EC (assistant EAP) 15. Clearance of indigenous vegetation, expansion & clearance of littoral vegetation from in-stream dams, Portions 66 and 9 of farm Redford no.232, Bitou Municipality, WC (EAP) 16. Extension of the Riverlea Airstrip, Underberg, KZN (EAP) 17. Upgrade of the Richards Bay Harbour Entrance Gate, KZN (EAP)
Environmental Control Officer	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Featherbed Private Nature Reserve, Portion 59 of farm 216, Knysna, WC 2. The Construction of Residential Dwellings on Lake Brenton Estate, Knysna, WC 3. The Construction of Residential Dwellings on Portion 3 of the farm Ganse Vallei no.447, WC 4. Construction of N2 Mnini Interchange, KZN 5. Construction of N2-R56 Interchange, KZN 6. Construction of the Mandeni Shopping Complex, KZN 7. Umzimkhulu WWTW, KZN
Outeniqua Sensitive Coastal Area Extension Regulations/OSCAER permits	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Erf 2919, Knysna, WC 2. Portion 104 of farm 216, Knysna, WC 3. Erf 2787, Sedgefield, WC 4. Erf 3154, Knysna, WC 5. Erf 722, Knysna, WC

	<div>6. Erf 314, George, WC</div> <div>7. Erf 1086, George, WC</div> <div>8. Erf 2143, George, WC</div> <div>9. Erf 2477, George, WC</div> <div>10. Erf 583, George, WC</div> <div>11. Portion 222 of 192, Kleinkrantz, WC</div> <div>12. Portion 317 of 192, Kleinkrantz, WC</div>
--	---

Contact Information:

Cell : +27 (0)72 773 5397
E-mail : samantha@ecoroute.co.za
Postal : P.O. Box 1252
Sedgefield, 6570
South Africa

Certification:

I, the undersigned, certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, this CV correctly describes myself, my qualifications, and my experience. I understand that any misstatement or misrepresentation described herein may lead to my disqualification or dismissal by the Client, and/or sanctions by the Bank.

Mrs. Samantha Janine Teeluckdhari

S. Teeluckdhari


12 May 2025

Name of Expert

Signature

Date

ERF 385 Hoekwil SDP



Legend

-  Farm Portions
-  Erf

Map Center: Lon: 22°35'16.8"E
Lat: 33°57'11.7"S


Scale: 1:15,000

Date created: 2025/11/09



Western Cape
Government
FOR YOU

ERF 385 Hoekwil Slope Analysis



Legend

Slope Percentage


%

0 - 5
6 - 10
11 - 15
16 - 20
21 - 25
26 - 30
31 - 35
36 - 40
41 - 45
46 - 50
51 - 60
61 - 70
71 - 80

Map Center: Lon: 22°35'44.7"E
Lat: 33°57'15.9"S

Scale: 1:9,028


Date created: 2025/11/09




Basic concept of the road crossing.

To access the area, we will make use of an old road that was used to harvest trees in the past, there are two old access roads to the area, but only one will be used. The location of the road is a crossing through an existing water course, it is however located where there is minimal to no water flow, earmarked by BOCMA officials on a site visit as the preferred crossing. (The location of the access road can be found on the KMZ file that is also attached to identify the proposed development area as requested.)

The crossing will be upgraded to accommodate the water flow, by the use of sufficient concrete pipes illustrated in the diagram below.



It will also be constructed to avoid erosion in event of heavy rains as shown in the diagram below.





INDIGENOUS GARDENS

Environmental Control Plan

Erf 385, Hoekwill, George Municipal Area



Date: 14/01/2022

Compiled by: Indigenous Gardens

Client: Wilderness Fruit PTY LTD

Review: Dr C Ebersohn

Property: Erf 385, Hoekwill, Western Cape

Date review: 07/02/2022

Approval

Department of Water Affairs, Biosecurity, Competent Authority

Approved.....

Date.....

STATEMENT OF INDEPENDENCE

I, John Gibbs, trading as Indigenous Gardens, in terms of section 33 of the NEMA, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended, hereby declare that I provide services as an independent Horticultural specialist and receive remuneration for services rendered for expressing a factual account of the baseline environment. I have no financial or other vested interest in the project. Botanical information contained in the report may not be copied without the authors consent.

TERMS OF REFERENCE

The purpose of this Invasive Species Monitoring, Control and Eradication Plan (Control Plan), in compliance with the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10, of 2004) and Invasive Species Regulations (October 2014), hereinafter referred to as NEMBA; is to comply with the NEMBA Act. The timeframe for implementation is approximately Five years, unless the arborist and operator can clear-fell the extent of invasion covering the property in one year.

The report will compromise a detailed Invasive Species Control Plan and be submitted, to be approved by the DFFE; and provide the following required information:

- A map indicating the extent of the current invasion of invasive Plant Species
- A systematic clearing approach
- A control and maintenance plan for clearing and maintenance and for prioritization of high-risk areas along boundaries; including post clearing control and maintenance;
- Method for control of the individual Invasive Alien Species

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION.....	4
2. HISTORY OF ERF 385 AND AIS CLEARING TO DATE.....	5
ZONING	5
CURRENT USE OF ERF 385.....	6
3. LOCALITY	7
4. Purpose and scope of the plan	8
5. Listed alien and invasive species present on the property.....	8
6. CONSERVATION STATUS OF ERF 385	9
CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREAS	9
CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREA DEGRADED	10
ECOLOGICAL SUPPORT AREA	11
ECOLOGICAL SUPPORT AREA- RESTORE	12
ECOSYSTEM THREAT STATUS.....	13
VEGETATION MAP.....	14
PROTECTED AREA.....	14
RIVERS	15
7. Strategic Objectives	15
Framework for the prevention, eradication and control of alien and listed AIS.	15
7.1 Prevention.....	15
7.2 Detection and Response	15
7.3 Control Methods	16
7.4 Biomass control and Fire Prevention.....	16
7.5 Duty of Care	16
8. Alien Vegetation Clearing can be broken down into the following PHASES:	16
9. Types of Recommended Treatments for AIS	17
9.1 Felling and Herbicide Treatment	17
9.2 Felling	17
9.3 Clearing with an Excavator.....	17
9.4 Ringbarking	18
9.5 Folio Spraying with Herbicide	18
9.6 Hoeing or pulling seedlings by hand.	18
10. Map of Biomes and the Associated Control Methods.....	19
10.1 Southern Afrotemperate Forest Area:.....	19
Control Method	20
10.2 Previous and Current arable Land to be cleared of AIS	21

Control Method	22
10.3 Riparian Zones.....	22
Control Method	23
10.4 Garden Route Shale Fynbos and Southern Afrotemperate Forest.....	24
Control Method	25
11. Monitoring	25
12. Objectives:	26
Objective 1: Prevention	26
12.1 Preventative actions	26
Objective 2: Early Detection & Rapid Response (EDRR)	26
12.2 Early Detection and Rapid Response actions.....	26
Objective 3: Restricted Activities and Duty of Care	26
12.3 Actions NEMBA Regulation 6(a-g) Restricted Activities.....	26
12.3.1 Actions Section 69 & 71 Duty of Care relating to alien species	27
Objective 4: Appropriate means and method of control	27
12.4 Actions to ensure appropriate means and control methods.....	27
Objective 5: Fire prevention and preparedness	27
12.5 Actions: Fire prevention and preparedness.....	27
Objective 6: Implement Control Plan.....	27
13. Recommendations	28
Appendix A: Herbicide Recommendations:	29
Recommended Herbicides	29
Cut-Stump and folio spraying treatments	29
Ringbarking	30
Appendix B: Safety, Health and Environment (SHE)	30

1. INTRODUCTION

Section 73 of 3 of the National Environmental Act: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004) both state that the landowner is legally responsible for the removal and control of declared invasive plant species on their properties.

Contact details of the landowner:
Local or district municipality:
Province:
The land use:
Property size:

Christoph Grey
George Municipality
South Western Cape
Agriculture
265.87858 HA

Declared/Alien invasive plant species are plants that are not indigenous ie. The plant species originate from outside our national borders, once in the area the alien species begin to spread and disperse on their own. Some are so aggressive that the Alien Invasive Species (AIS) are able to penetrate and take over area's that were once totally pristine with indigenous vegetation occurring naturally in the area. The negative environmental impacts with regard to AIS are identified as follow:

- Threat to scarce water resource areas;
- Damage the quality of soil nutrients;
- Fire Hazard: an increase to the fire index with the increase of bio-mass , height and highly flammable resins found in some invasive species all of which leads to an increased intensity of the fire;
- Invasive plant species have an impact on the diversity of local species resulting in the loss of endemic Species;
- Establishment of a green desert.

The author was commissioned to compile a Control Plan for the above mentioned property. Site visits were made to evaluate the density and composition of the vegetation infested with AIS.

2. HISTORY OF ERF 385 AND AIS CLEARING TO DATE

ZONING

The property is zoned Agriculture. Agriculture zone is defined in the George Integrated Zoning Scheme Bylaw:

“The draft George Integrated Zoning Scheme By-Law was adopted by the Council of George Municipality in terms of Section 12 of the Municipal Systems Act, Act 32 of 2000, at a meeting held on 24 August 2017. Final promulgation of the George Integrated Zoning Scheme By-Law was published in the Provincial Gazette of 01 September 2017”:

“AGRICULTURE” Land use description: “agriculture” means the cultivation of land for raising crops and other plants, including plantations, the keeping and breeding of animals, birds or bees, stud farming, game farming, intensive horticulture; intensive animal farming; a riding school or natural veld, and—

(a) Includes—

(i) the harvesting, packing, cooling, storing, sorting, and packaging of agricultural produce grown on that land unit and surrounding or nearby farms;

(ii) harvesting of natural resources, which are limited to living organisms, for delivery to the market;

(iii) agricultural buildings or infrastructure that are reasonably connected with the main farming activities, including a dwelling house, agricultural worker accommodation and rooftop base telecommunication stations;

(vi) agricultural industry;

and (b) does not include aquaculture; an abattoir, a farm shop, an animal care centre, any mining activity, utility services and renewable energy structures for commercial purposes.

CURRENT USE OF ERF 385

Erf 385 is currently exercising their agricultural rights with the planting of horticultural crops.

The previous owner Andrew Walker received a pre-directive on 30/11/2016. The pre-directive was issued with regards of the alien species occurring on erf 385. Mr. Walker appointed Invasive plant solution to compile an Environmental Management Plan for the control of alien invasive vegetation species on Erf 385 Hoekwill. The Department of Environmental Affairs approved the alien control plan.

The Department issued a close out letter on 29/05/2019 stating that the control and eradication of listed AIS on Erf 385 have been completed.

With regard to the above close out letter the new property owner of Erf 385 upon inspection realised the need for further AIS clearing and disputes the fact that all AIS clearing was completed.

DFFE conducted a site visit on 02/02/2021 and advised Wilderness Fruit PTY LTD to compile a new control plan in order to complete the required timeframes for AIS control with feasible and reasonable methods for the clearing of AIS.

Wilderness Fruit PTY LTD commenced with further AIS clearing on Erf 385 as per the approved AIS control plan compiled by Invasive Plant solutions.

Wilderness Fruit PTY LTD completed the following as per the approved "ISCP" (page 13 describes the work completed to date):

1. Perform de-forestation and site clearance operation on all AIV by grubbing up roots and filling in holes.
2. De-limb and cross-cut trees
3. Gather and stack all biomass debris to in situ stock piles.
4. Prepare fire breaks around stacked biomass debris piles and perform controlled burn operation.




Wilderness Fruit PTY LTD joined the Southern Cape Fire Protection Agency (SCFPA) and Mr Japie Hendriks provided insight and instruction with regards to biomass removal and the construction and placement of debris piles and fire breaks on erf 385.


AIS control needs to be ongoing and reassessed as a result of re-infestation of AIS currently occurring on Erf 385.

Eco Route Environmental Consultancy has been appointed to complete a Basic Assessment Report as per the NEMA Act (Act 10107 of 1998) in order for the applicant to exercise his agricultural rights on Erf 385.

3. LOCALITY



Erf 385 is located in Hoekwill, South Western Cape and is ± 265 Hectares in extent. It borders the Witfontein Nature Reserve and the Garden Route National Park to the North.



4. Purpose and scope of the plan

The purpose of this Invasive Species control plan in compliance with the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10, of 2004) and Invasive Species Regulations (October 2014) hereinafter referred to as NEMBA.

The timeframe for implementation is 10 years (2022 to 2032).

The plan applies to the entire 265.87858 hectares of the property that is not under agricultural practises, all the terrestrial, aquatic and riparian areas. It includes invasive and alien plant species and because no invasive animals were observed during the site assessment. Surveys to detect invasive invertebrates were not conducted and should invasive invertebrate species be detected, an invertebrate specialist will be appointed and a management plan will be proposed.

5. Listed alien and invasive species present on the property


Plant Species	Common name	NEMBA Category
Acacia mearnsii	black Wattle	2
Acacia melanoxylon	blackwood	2
Acacia saligna	port jackson willow	1b
Eucalyptus camandulensis	red river gum	1b
Eucalyptus diversicolor	karri gum	2
Hakea sericea	silky hakea	1b
Nephrolepis exalta	sword fern	1b
Paraserianthes lophanta	stinkbean	1b
Pinus pinaster	stone pine	3

<i>Pinus radiata</i>	radiate pine	1b
<i>Rubus cuneifolius</i>	bramble	1b
<i>Senna didymobotrya</i>	peanut butter cassia	1b
<i>Solanum mauritianum</i>	bugweed	1b

6. CONSERVATION STATUS OF ERF 385

Cape Farm Mapper is a free web-based **mapping** application that gives you access to spatial databases and web services. This web tool can help with **agriculture** practices, environmental management and **farm** planning.

CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREAS



Critical Biodiversity Areas


Category 1: CBA: Terrestrial

Category 2: CBA: Forest

Definition: Areas in a natural condition that are required to meet biodiversity targets, for species, ecosystems or ecological processes and infrastructure.

Objective: Maintain in a natural or near-natural state, with no further loss of natural habitat. Degraded areas should be rehabilitated. Only low-impact, biodiversity-sensitive land uses are appropriate.

CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREA DEGRADED



Critical Biodiversity Areas (Degr)


Category 1: CBA2: Terrestrial

Category 2: CBA2: Forest

Definition: Areas in a degraded or secondary condition that are required to meet biodiversity targets, for species, ecosystems or ecological processes and infrastructure.

Objective: Maintain in a natural or near-natural state, with no further loss of habitat. Degraded areas should be rehabilitated. Only low-impact, biodiversity-sensitive land-uses are appropriate.


ECOLOGICAL SUPPORT AREA



Ecological Support Areas

Feature:	Climate Corridor, Critically Endangered or Endangered veg, Water Source
Category 1:	ESA: Terrestrial
Definition:	Areas that are not essential for meeting biodiversity targets, but that play an important role in supporting the functioning of PAs or CBAs, and are often vital for delivering ecosystem services.
Objective:	Maintain in a functional, near-natural state. Some habitat loss is acceptable, provided the underlying biodiversity objectives and ecological functioning are not compromised.


ECOLOGICAL SUPPORT AREA- RESTORE



Ecological Support Areas (Res)

Feature:	Forest, Climate Corridor, Watercourse
Category 1:	ESA2: Restore from other land use
Definition:	Areas that are not essential for meeting biodiversity targets, but that play an important role in supporting the functioning of PAs or CBAs, and are often vital for delivering ecosystem services.
Objective:	Restore and/or manage to minimize impact on ecological processes and ecological infrastructure functioning, especially soil and water-related services, and to allow for faunal movement.

ECOSYSTEM THREAT STATUS



Ecosystems Threat Status

Name: Southern Afrotemperate Forest
Status 2016: LT
Status 2014: Least Threatened (LT)
Status 2011: LT


Ecosystems Threat Status

Name: Garden Route Shale Fynbos
Status 2016: EN

Ecosystems Threat Status

Name: South Outeniqua Sandstone Fynbos
Status 2016: VU


VEGETATION MAP



PROTECTED AREA



RIVERS



7. Strategic Objectives

Framework for the prevention, eradication and control of alien and listed AIS.

7.1 Prevention

Prevent the introduction and the spread of AIS according to the NEMBA listed invasive plants onto Erf 385/ or spreading of AIS to the properties bordering Erf 385

7.2 Detection and Response

Mapping of any new AIS species outbreaks or re-growth from completed AIS cleared areas, before sustainable populations are established and start spreading. This can be achieved by regular inspections of the property.

Any new category a1 species found on the property must be reported to DFFE for assistance/control and if required to update the control plan to ensure compliance.

All AIS seed bed germination, re-growth or vegetative self-propagation observed must be dealt with immediately before the species produce further seeds or off-spring.

7.3 Control Methods

Control methods will be articulated in section 8, within the report per identified sections with regards to how clearing of AIS species needs to occur on Erf 385.

7.4 Biomass control and Fire Prevention

Wilderness Fruit PTY LTD is a member of the SFCPA and their guidance and assistance will be implemented in ensuring that all the required firebreaks and appropriate methods to control biomass are adhered too. Chapter 5 of NEM:BA places a duty on all owners to be ready to fight fires by acquiring equipment and having available personnel to fight fires. It provides conditions for giving power to certain persons and officials to enter land and fight fires in an emergency.

7.5 Duty of Care

As per NEMA (Act 107 of 1998) as amended in April 2017 section 28:

“Every person who causes has caused or may cause significant pollution, or degradation of the environment must reasonable measures to prevent such pollution or degradation from occurring, continuing or recurring or, in so far as such harm to the environment is authorised by law or cannot reasonably be avoided or stopped, to minimize or rectify such pollution or degradation of the environment.”

8. Alien Vegetation Clearing can be broken down into the following PHASES:

- 8.1 PHASE 1: Removal by cutting, excavating, burning, ringbarking, hand pulling, herbicide spraying and biological measures.
- 8.2 PHASE 2: The removal of all biomass by either burning, chipping or removing usable material.
- 8.3 PHASE 3: (Follow up) which is critical to the success of the AIS clearing to achieve the following:
 - Rehabilitation of the infested area to its natural or near natural state or
 - To exercise the land rights as per the agricultural rights (horticultural or agricultural purposes).
- 8.4 PHASE 4: Implement a long term maintenance plan in order to combat further germination of AIS as a result of:
 - The seedbank has been exposed and disturbed as a result of clearing, this will result in germination of the seeds from within the AIS seedbank in situ.
 - The resulting germination rate and density will far higher than the original infestation.

- There will still be further germination of seeds dispersed by wind / birds from surrounding properties that are infested with AIS

9. Types of Recommended Treatments for AIS

9.1 Felling and Herbicide Treatment

This method applies to AIS that can regenerate by coppicing (regrow from the cut stump). When felling. Always cut the AIS as horizontal and close to the ground as possible so as not to leave sharp points that could be a danger to others.

A registered herbicide with the Department of Agriculture is then applied to the cut stump.

A sticker agent may also be needed depending on the type of herbicide used plus the use of vegetable dye should be added to your herbicide mix to allow for tracking of what has and what has not been sprayed.

Herbicide when used in this method is applied via solid cone nozzle the herbicide must be applied to the cut stump as soon as possible to allow the herbicide to be absorbed by the plant via the xylem phloem canals (a plants version of veins and arteries).

These veins are found cambium layer which is the area between the bark and the wood, and this is where the herbicide must be applied. i.e the outer rim of the cut stump.

Cut material (biomass) needs to be removed / stacked depending further use or burnt / chipped. When felling AIS don't to block riparian zones with cut material.

9.2 Felling

This applies to species of invasive plants that cannot regenerate by coppicing e.g. most pine species. As with treatment 1 cut as horizontally and close to the ground as possible.

Cut material (biomass) needs to be removed / stacked depending further use or burnt / chipped. When felling AIS don't to block riparian zones with cut material.

9.3 Clearing with an Excavator

This is done when the end goal is use the land for horticultural or agricultural practises. This involves pushing the AIS into piles for burning after the first burn these piles can be consolidated into another pile and burnt again.

Should any biomass remain after the second burn, an excavator may be used to bury the remaining biomass.

The excavator is perfect for ripping out root balls so there is no need for the use of herbicide with this method. A positive for using this method is an area of soil that is free from stumps and roots, allowing for easy ripping ploughing / planting.

9.4 Ringbarking

Used on AIS in areas where it is impossible to remove the biomass or where felling would damage the surrounding indigenous habitat.

This involves simply cutting a ring half a meter up the trees trunk exposing cambium layer then painting the exposed cambium layer with approved herbicide from the Department of Agriculture.

9.5 Folio Spraying with Herbicide

This method is mainly restricted to follow up phases over areas where the seed bank has germinated on mass.

When doing this wait till the newly germinated AIS have reached a height of 1 meters as at this point of growth this will result in killing the early and late germinating seedlings.

This process will have to be repeated depending on the depth of the seedbank which correlates to the frequency of AIS germination.

9.6 Hoeing or pulling seedlings by hand.

This method should be a way of life i.e. if AIS species is observed, hand pulling is recommended where possible. It is best to pull by hand after rainfall.

This method also applies to areas that are sensitive, e.g. riparian zones where herbicide is not allowed or areas where the use of an herbicide could harm surrounding natural ecosystems or commercial crops.

10. Map of Biomes and the Associated Control Methods

Management unit	Hectares	Extent of overall invasion (%)	Comment	Priority
001	84 HA	± 8%	Very light AIS in Natural Forest area	Low
001	19 HA	±32%	High encroachment of AIS on Forest Edge	High
002	19.1HA	±41%	Most of this area has already been cleared as per the previous AIS plan. As a result of the disturbance of top soil new seedlings have emerged. As per the biodiversity report <i>“Currently, they are completely cleared of alien species, but the potential for re-invasion is persistent. They are currently managed by regular mowing to keep the vegetation short, and removal of alien plants.”</i>	High
003	5 HA	23%	The riparian is very vulnerable to AIS infestations. The riparian areas are very important ecological zones.	High
004	46.4 HA	±87%	Heavy infestation of AIS	High
Total	173.5 HA		The remaining HA is under agricultural practices.	

10.1 Southern Afrotemperate Forest Area:



Polygon 001 – Forest Area

Management unit	Hectares	Extent of overall invasion (%)	Comment	Priority
001	84 HA	± 8%	Very light AIS in Natural Forest area	Low
001	19 HA	±32%	High encroachment of AIS on Forest Edge	High
Total	103 HA			

As per the National Forest (Act 84 of 1998), Principles to guide decisions affecting forests:

- (a) natural forests must not be destroyed save in exceptional circumstances where, in the opinion of the Minister, a proposed new land use is preferable in terms of its economic, social or environmental benefits;
- (b) a minimum area of each woodland type should be conserved: and
- (c) forests must be developed and managed so as to-
 - (i) conserve biological diversity, ecosystems and habitats;
 - (ii) sustain the potential yield of their economic, social and environmental benefits

Southern Afrotemperate forest vegetation status is classed as least threatened, however this forest type is protected under the National Forests Act.

Removal of AIS within the Southern Afrotemperate forest will require a permit from the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE).

Control Method

Within the Afro-temperate forest the following alien invasive species were identified:


1. Blackwood trees
2. Stinkbean trees
3. Peanut Butter cassia

The following methods can be used for clearing AIS within the Southern Afrotemperate Forest:

- The Southern Afrotemperate forest /indigenous forest will have to be cleared on foot only, of all AIS.
- Blackwood tree's that can be felled without damaging the surrounding indigenous forest. This can be achieved by felling and cross-cutting then herbicide must be applied as a cut-stump treatment.
- In cases where the felling would damage the surrounding indigenous forest, it is required that the tree is ringbarked and then herbicide is applied to the exposed cambium layer. The subsequent slow death of the tree will result in reducing the canopy of the tree, which reduces the damage that a falling canopy would cause to the surrounding forest.
- Please note no heavy machinery is permitted to work in this area and all this work is to be carried out by chainsaw operators and herbicide applicators on foot.
- Should the cut material pose a fire risk this material should be removed to an open area where it can be dealt with in the appropriate manner i.e. chipping /burning ect.
- The forest margin area is small but extremely important as this is the area where AIS first crop up and then further invade deeper into the indigenous forest. This area needs to be constantly monitored for new growth of invasive alien species.
- Follow-up by hoeing or pulling young seedlings by hand.

Action	Frequency	Timeframes
Clearing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Felling ➤ Ringbarking ➤ Herbicide 	Once Off	2022 -2025
Follow up: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Ringbarking ➤ Hoeing 	Annually	In perpetuity

10.2 Previous and Current arable Land to be cleared of AIS



Polygon -002

Management unit	Hectares	Extent of overall invasion (%)	Comment	Priority
002	19.1HA	±41%	Most of this area has already been cleared as per the previous AIS plan. As a result of the disturbance of top soil new seedlings have emerged. As per the biodiversity report <i>“Currently, they are completely cleared of alien species, but the potential for re-invasion is persistent. They are currently managed by regular mowing to keep the vegetation short, and removal of alien plants.”</i>	High
Total	19.1 HA			


Control Method

The following methods can be used for clearing AIS:

- Clear by means of an excavator.
- Push all biomass into heaps.
- Have a controlled burn the under supervision of the fire department in conjunction with the SCFPA.
- The remaining materials can then be pushed into another heap and burnt.
- Any remaining material can then be buried using the excavator.

Action	Frequency	Timeframes
Clearing: <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Excavator➤ Controlled burn of biomass heaps	Once Off	2022 -2025
Follow up: <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Agricultural Practises➤ Hand pulling seedlings	Annually	In perpetuity

10.3 Riparian Zones



Polygon 003 – Riparian Areas

Management unit	Hectares	Extent of overall invasion (%)	Comment	Priority
003	5 HA	23%	The riparian is very vulnerable to AIS infestations. The riparian areas are very important ecological zones.	High
Total	5 HA			

A riparian zone or riparian area is the interface between land and a river or stream. Riparian is also the proper nomenclature for one of the terrestrial biomes. Plant habitats and communities along the river margins and banks are called riparian vegetation, characterized by hydrophilic plants.


No excavators will be permitted within 32 meters of the banks of the river.

Control Method

- All of the work within the riparian zone is on foot by chainsaw operators.
- Felling or Ringbarking applies to this area.
- No biomass must be left blocking the water flow.
- All biomass must be removed by hand or a skidder with drum winch operating from outside the riparian zone.
- Biomass material can then be chipped, burnt or removed from the property.
- Follow up treatment will be by hand pulling / hoeing as folio spraying is not permitted within a riparian zone.

Action	Frequency	Timeframes
Clearing: ➤ Felling ➤ Ringbarking	Once Off	2022 -2025
Follow up: ➤ Hoeing ➤ Hand pulling seedlings	Annually	In perpetuity

10.4 Garden Route Shale Fynbos and Southern Afrotemperate Forest



Polygon 004

Management unit	Hectares	Extent of overall invasion (%)	Comment	Priority
004	46.4HA	±87%	Heavy infestation of AIS	High
Total	46.4 HA			

Due to the sensitivity of this area machinery is not allowed in the riparian zones.

Control Method

- Felling and Herbicide Treatment
- Excavator
- Felling
- Ringbarking
- Biomass to be removed by hand and dealt with in the appropriate manner.

Action	Frequency	Timeframes
Clearing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Excavator to only be used outside the riparian corridors ➤ Felling ➤ Ringbarking 	Once Off	2022 -2025
Follow up: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Hoeing ➤ Folio spray outside the riparian corridors ➤ Hand pulling seedlings 	Annually	In perpetuity

11. Monitoring

Monitoring involves repeated observations or recording of data to be able to track progress and determine the efficacy of control methods. A very basic monitoring programme applies to private land.

WHAT	FREQUENCY	HOW	RESPONSE
How effective are the control methods	4-6 months after every operation	Survey cleared areas and look for regrowth	Continue with methods or adapt to be more effective
Do the infestation levels decrease	Annually	Visual, photos	Continue clearing – you are doing well
How much herbicides were used	After every operation	Herbicide records	Keep track of cost and ensure no wastage
Does the fynbos / forest recover in the cleared areas?	Annually	Photos, survey	If it does – you are doing well, if not, look at clearing methods, clearing intervals or consult an expert
How many jobs were created	After every operations	Timesheets	Send to DFFE

12. Objectives:

Objective 1: Prevention

To put measures in place to prevent the introduction of new NEMBA listed invasive plants and animals onto the property, and invasive species from spreading from the property to neighbouring properties.

12.1 Preventative actions

- No listed invasive and alien plant species will be planted
- Areas bordering onto neighbouring land will be prioritized for control to prevent existing invasive plants from spreading beyond the boundaries of the property
- No listed invader animal species will be introduced on the property
- These prevention measures will be communicated to all users of the property (where applicable)

Objective 2: Early Detection & Rapid Response (EDRR)

To put measures in place whereby new and secondary invasive species are detected early and removed before establishing sustainable populations and start spreading (Early Detection and Rapid Response)

12.2 Early Detection and Rapid Response actions

- Regularly survey the property to detect any new or emerging invader plant species
- Report category 1a species immediately to the Department of Environmental Affairs and ask for assistance with the control of the species
- Do not allow emerging or new species to produce seeds or off-spring, or start growing vegetatively, act immediately by removing them
- Update species list by including these species and indicate where on the property they were located
- Increase surveillance in the areas where the species occur to ensure the plants re-sprout or re-occur.

Objective 3: Restricted Activities and Duty of Care

To adhere to Restricted Activities and Duty of Care as determined by NEMBA & Regulations concerning invasive and alien species.

12.3 Actions NEMBA Regulation 6(a-g) Restricted Activities

- Prevent spreading or allowing the spread of, any specimen of a listed invasive species.

12.3.1 Actions Section 69 & 71 Duty of Care relating to alien species

- Take all required steps to prevent or minimise harm to biodiversity
- Notify the competent authority, in writing, of the listed invasive species occurring on the property
- Take steps to control and eradicate the listed invasive species and to prevent it from spreading; and

Objective 4: Appropriate means and method of control

To ensure the means and methods of control are appropriate to the species and environment and are implemented in such a way that it minimizes the risk to biodiversity and the environment

12.4 Actions to ensure appropriate means and control methods

- Implement measures to prevent the starting of wildfires, including spreading to neighbouring land and to be ready and able to combat fires on the farm should they occur
- Mechanical and hand tools must be best suited to the work and the size of plants being cleared and in a good working condition
- Control methods must be appropriate for the species and the environment
- Control methods are to be implemented in such a way that it prevents harm to biodiversity and the environment

Objective 5: Fire prevention and preparedness

Implement measures to prevent the starting of wildfires on the property, wildfires spreading to neighbouring land, and ensuring fire-preparedness and ability to combat fires on the property should they occur.

12.5 Actions: Fire prevention and preparedness

- Manage fuel loads by controlling invasive plants
- Prepare and maintain a fire break around the property, ensure
 - it is wide enough and long enough to have a reasonable chance of preventing a veldfire from spreading to or from neighbouring land;
 - it does not cause soil erosion; and
 - it is reasonably free of inflammable material capable of carrying a veldfire across it
- Train staff to combat fires
- Keep adequate, appropriate and serviceable firefighting equipment.
- Join the Fire Protection Association (FPA)(Mr Grey is already a member of the SCFPA)

Objective 6: Implement Control Plan

To bring the invasive plant infestation on the property under control by 2032 by reaching the desired state.

Category	Desired state – by 2032
Category 1 b trees	All mature trees are removed; follow- up control programme in place. All the management units are in maintenance. Overall infestation does not exceed 10% of the property. (These will include seedlings and re-sprouting trees, mainly gums and poplars. Acacia species will be under control with the correct control methods and pines and hakea will be under control as they do not re-sprout following correct clearing methods.)
Category 1b herbaceous species	Less than 2%
Category 1b annual species	Less than 2%
Category 2 species	All mature trees are removed; follow- up control programme in place. All the management units are in maintenance. Overall infestation does not exceed 10% of the property. (These will include seedlings and re-sprouting trees, mainly gums. Acacia species will be under control with the correct control methods)
Category 3 species	All mature trees are removed; follow- up control programme in place. All the management units are in maintenance. Overall infestation does not exceed 10% of the property. (These will include seedlings and re-sprouting trees, pines will be under control as they do not re-sprout following correct clearing methods.)

13. Recommendations

As per the Site Sensitivity Verification report: Erf 385, Hoekwil, George, Western Cape Province
PREPARED BY: Dr David Hoare:

The following recommendations are made to protect and enhance sensitive ecological features on site, which occur outside the proposed footprint areas:

- Wetlands should be treated as sensitive. A buffer zone along the margins should be maintained to protect the wetlands from direct impacts. Alien invasive species management should be implemented within these buffers, as well as within the wetlands, to protect them from this degrading process.

- Forest margins should be treated as sensitive ecological areas. A buffer zone along the margins should be maintained to protect the forest edges from direct impacts. Alien invasive species management should be implemented within these areas.
- An ongoing alien invasive management programme should continue to take place on site. This will protect sensitive habitats from degradation and could potentially be the biggest contribution to maintaining and protecting biodiversity on site and in surrounding areas.
- Practical fire break position will depend on a co-management agreement with neighbouring property owners. The SCFPA must be consulted in the preparations of fire breaks.
- Timeframes for the clearing of management unit compartments will need to be established by the appointed contractor or the applicant and submitted to DFEE for approval.
- Health and safety requirements including the use of PPE must be adhered too and is the responsibility of the appointed contractor/ applicant.

Appendix A: Herbicide Recommendations:

Herbicide requirements are focused around cut-stump treatments, ringbarking and follow-up folio spraying. Refer to below list of the various herbicides available on the market presently and a breakdown of the relevant data with regards to these herbicides.

As per the Department of Agriculture the following recommendations should be adhered too:

- Pest Control Officer (PCO) with a valid PCO licence to sign off on herbicide usage
- The PCO must ensure that the correct procedures for applying herbicide were adhered too
- A Herbicide Register must be kept, which will include the following information:
 - Herbicide trade name
 - Mixing dosage
 - Stock register of stock in hand, stock issued and stock returned
 - Date applied and area applied
 - Environmental Conditions when applied
 - The herbicide active ingredient

It would be appropriate if the appointed herbicide applicator has completed the relevant herbicide applicators course which one of the forestry companies i.e. P G Bison should be able to provide contact details of specialist with specialised training.

Recommended Herbicides

Cut-Stump and folio spraying treatments

Name	Garlon 4
Active ingredient	triclopyr
Type of formulation	soluble liquid
Gram per active ingredient	360g per lt
Dosage	4 percent mix
Time of application	after cutting
Remarks	cut stump treatment and folio spraying

Ringbarking

Name	Access
Active ingredient	picloram
Type of formulation	soluble liquid
Gram per active ingredient	240g per lt
Dosage	60mil per lt water
Time of application	cambium layer application
Remarks	ringbarking

Appendix B: Safety, Health and Environment (SHE)

It is the landowner's responsibility to ensure a safe working environment and that the teams working on the property adhere to the minimum safety requirements. This can be achieved by sourcing appropriately trained and experienced teams. The principle of "leave no trace" applies.

The landowner should liaise with the contractor to ensure the following minimum SHE requirements are adhered to:

Toilet facilities

- The contractor is responsible for providing a mobile toilet on site for the duration of the work
- Clean water must be made available in suitable containers for drinking and mixing herbicides
- The landowner should allow the contractor to fill containers on the farm

Team's skills requirements

- Chainsaw operators in possession of valid certificates
- Herbicide applicators certified

Work methods and equipment

- Equipment must be suitable for the work and in good working condition
- Adhere to work methods stipulated in the site specification

Herbicides

- Refer Appendix B

Vehicle and driver

- The driver must be in possession of a valid PrDP
- The vehicle must be road worthy

- Tools must be transported in the trailer, separately from the workers

Safety precautions

- Certified SHE Rep on site
- Certified Safety Office on site
- The SHE Rep must conduct daily safety talks
- The first aid kit must be on site

COID

- The contractor must be in possession and present proof of a valid certificate of good standing with the Compensation Commissioner
- Any incidents must be reported to the landowner
- An indemnity form must be signed stating that the contractors accepts full liability for any COID related matters and that the landowner will not be held liable should the contractor not comply with minimum standards
- The contractor deals with COID cases and not the landowner
- Near misses, incidents and accident register must be kept

Insurance

- The contractor must be appropriately insured for the vehicle and equipment
- The contractor must provide proof of third party and liability insurance
- Sign an agreement whereby the contractor accepts liability for damages in case of negligence

Storage of fuel and herbicides

- Fuel and herbicides must be left in a shady area, away from the resting/eating area
- The area must be clearly marked with bunting
- The bunting must be removed on completion of the job
- Herbicide mixing and refuelling must be conducted on a spill blanket
- A spade must be on site to cover any accidental spillage
- A serviced and functional fire extinguisher must be kept at the fuel refilling area

Preventing fires

- No smoking while working, assign a designated smoking area
- Remove cigarette butts
- No smoking during windy conditions
- Keep 1 fire beater for every team member within reach of the workers
- No chainsaw work during Code Red days - Fire Danger Indices (FDIs) obtainable from FPA

Correct PPE are being worn at all times

Item	Supervisor	Machine operator	General workers SHE Rep; 1 st Aid Rep; Driver	Specialized herbicide applicator
Sunhat (follow up operations)	✓	✓	✓	✓
Hard hat (when chainsaws are being used)	✓	✓	✓	✓

Hard hat with visor and certified earmuffs (SABS or EU),	x	✓	x	x
T-shirt	✓	✓	✓	✓
Conti suit	✓	✓	✓	✓
FESA approved chainsaw pants (eleven layers) with broad belt or braces	x	✓	x	x
Whistle	✓	✓	x	x
Safety boots	✓	✓	✓	✓
Gumboots (only when working in riverine/wetland areas)	✓	✓	✓	✓
Chainsaw safety boots	x	✓	x	x
Gloves	✓	✓	✓	✓
Chainsaw operators gloves	x	✓	x	x
Safety goggles	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cape (when using a knapsack)	x	x	x	✓
Mask (when applying herbicides)	x	x	x	✓
Rubber gloves (for mixing herbicides)	x	x	x	✓
Rubber apron (for mixing herbicides)	x	x	x	✓
Rain suit (during rainy conditions)	✓	✓	✓	✓

It is recommended that the requirements are stipulated in the work specifications and the contractor accept accountability in writing.



forestry, fisheries & the environment

Department:
Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Private Bag X447, Pretoria, 0001, Environment House, 473 Steve Biko Road, Pretoria, 0002 Tel: +27 12 399 9000, Fax: +27 86 625 1042

Ref: 14.7.8.2.4.94

Enquiries: Mr Stiaan Kotze

Tel: (021) 441 2816 Email: SKotze@dfffe.gov.za

Eco Route Environmental Consultancy
PO Box 1252
Sedgefield
6573

Email: samantha@ecoroute.co.za

Dear Samantha Teeluckdhari

INVASIVE SPECIES MONITORING, CONTROL AND ERADICATION PLAN OF ERF 385, HOEKWILL, GEORGE.

I refer to the following:

- 1.1. Invasive Species Monitoring, Control and Eradication Plan of Erf 385, Hoekwill, George Western Cape Province submitted to the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) on 29 July 2025 compiled by Indigenous Gardens.
2. Having reviewed the Invasive Species Monitoring, Control and Eradication Plan I have noted the following:
 - 2.1. The plan includes the entire property.
 - 2.2. The listed invasive plant species present on your property and their categories.
 - 2.3. The combined integrated control methods (mechanical, chemical and biological) will be used to control listed invasive species on your property.
 - 2.4. The Invasive Species Monitoring, Control and Eradication Plan will be implemented for **ten (10) years**, and all invasive plant species will be under control **by end 2032**; and there after annual follow up is recommended to keep the portion clean.
3. In view of the above background, the Department is satisfied that the submitted Invasive Species Monitoring, Control and Eradication plan meets the requirements of such plans as provided in Chapter 4, sub section 76 of the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004) and the Alien and Invasives Species Regulations, 2020.



Batho pele – putting people first

The processing of personal information by the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment is done lawfully and not excessive to the purpose of processing in compliance with the POPI Act, any codes of conduct issued by the Information Regulator in terms of the POPI Act and/or relevant legislation providing appropriate security safeguards for the processing of personal information of others.

4. A progress report must be submitted to the DFFE every year or at the completion of each management unit to outline the progress that has been made. Moreover, a close out report must be submitted to the department after clearing have been completed.

The close out report must, among other things include:

- a. Description of the control methods used and the way they were implemented
 - b. Assessment including fixed-point photography of the cleared areas; and
 - c. Management, utilisation or disposal of plant materials (biomass) after clearing.
5. Should you wish to correspond further on this matter kindly quote Reference:14/2/8/2/4/94. Enquiries may be directed to the contact person highlighted at the top of this correspondence.

Yours sincerely



Mr Stiaan Kotze
Environmental Management Inspector
Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment
Designation: Control Biodiversity Officer: Competent Authority
Branch: Regulatory Compliance and Monitoring
Date: 04/08/2025



environmental affairs

Department:
Environmental Affairs
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Private Bag X 447 · PRETORIA · 0001 · Environment House, 473 Steve Biko Road, Pretoria, Tel + 27 12 399 9000 · Fax +27 86 625 1042

REF: ERF 385

Enquiries: Mr Lebohang Mosia

Tel: (012) 399 9365 Email: lmosia@environment.gov.za

Mr Andrew Walker
Morrisk Investments (Pty) Ltd
KEMPTON PARK
1619

Tel: 021 200 2230
Email: walker@corpdial.co.za

Dear Sir

CLOSE OUT LETTER: NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ISSUE A DIRECTIVE IN TERMS OF SECTION 73(3) OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT: BIODIVERSITY ACT, 2004 (ACT NO.10 OF 2004) ("NEM: BA"): IN RESPECT OF FAILURE OF MR ANDREW WALKER TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE LAW IN RESPECT OF THE ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED AT 385 HOEKWIL, WILDERNESS, WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE.

1. I refer to the above matter and to the following:

- 1.1 The site inspection conducted on 12th July 2016 by Environmental Management Inspectors ("EMIs") of the Department of Environmental Affairs ("DEA");
- 1.2 The notice of intention to issue a Directive ("pre-directive") dated 30th November 2016 issued by DEA;
- 1.3 Your written representation dated 19th December 2016, undertaking to comply with the contents of the pre-directive and ensure compliance with NEM: BA;
- 1.4 The Invasive Species Control Plan ("ISCP") for 385 Hoekwil submitted by you on 23rd February 2017;
- 1.5 The Invasive Species Control –progress report for 385 Hoekwil dated the 14th February 2018 prepared by Invasive Plant Solutions Pty Ltd; and



Batho pele- putting people first

1.6 The site inspection conducted on 08th April 2019 by EMIs of the DEA.

2. I note the contents of the ISCP, progress report and the findings of most recent site inspection conducted by EMIs on 08th April 2019, which indicates that the control and eradication of listed invasive plant species on your property have been completed. The DEA is satisfied that the current state of the property substantially complies with the relevant legislative provisions, due to the fact that the alien and listed invasive plant species have been cleared. Please note, however, that EMIs from DEA will continue to monitor compliance with the conditions of the permit and to check if the regrowth is being controlled in accordance with the control plan.
3. In order to finalize the matter, the DEA has considered that you have submitted an ISCP and progress report; and controlled the alien and invasive species in your property accordingly. In addition, it has been noted that you have been issued with the necessary permit to undertake these activities. As a result of that, the DEA has decided to close this matter and no administrative enforcement will be considered at this juncture. In the event that non-compliances are detected in future, please note that the appropriate enforcement action will be taken.
4. Please also note Section 29 of the AIS Regulations, which relates to the *"Sale or transfer of alien and listed invasive species."* Section 29(3) of said AIS Regulations specifically provides that the *"seller of any immovable property must, prior to the conclusion of the relevant sale agreement, notify the purchaser of that property in writing of the presence of listed invasive species on that property"*.
5. Should you have any queries in relation to this matter, please do not hesitate to contact Mr Lebohang Mosia at the above mentioned telephone number or email address.

Yours sincerely



FRANCES CRAIGIE

CHIEF DIRECTOR: ENFORCEMENT

GRADE 1 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT INSPECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS

DATE: 29/5/19



Batho pele- putting people first