Draft BAR: Appendix G – Impact Assessment Methodology

Impact Identification and Assessment Methodology

The purpose of impact assessment is to assign a qualified significance to impacts which are predicted to occur as a result of the various aspects of an activity.

The following definitions apply:

- Activity: A distinct process or task undertaken by an organisation for which a responsibility can be assigned. Activities
 also include facilities or pieces of infrastructure that are possessed by an organisation.
- Environmental aspect: An element of an organisations activities, products and services which can interact with the environment. The interaction of an aspect with the environment may result in an impact.
- Environmental impacts: The consequences of these aspects on environmental resources or receptors of particular value or sensitivity, for example, disturbance due to noise and health effects due to poorer air quality.
- Receptors: Comprise, but are not limited to, people or human-made systems, such as local residents, communities
 and social infrastructure, as well as components of the biophysical environment such as aquifers, flora and
 paleontology.

Aspects

Aspects associated with the proposed project are differentiated into construction and operation phases of the project. The nature of the impact is described. Once this has been undertaken the significance of the impact is determined.

Identifying significant environmental impacts

The significant environmental impacts are identified using three sources of information:

- The nature of the receiving environment (the environment includes the social, cultural and biophysical environment)
- A review and understanding of the aspects associated with the proposed project.
- All comments received from interested and affected parties during the public participation process. The issues raised
 will be described giving consideration to the associated activity and the aspect of that activity that is likely to result in
 an impact.

Nature of the impact

Impacts on the environment can lead to changes in existing conditions; the nature of the impact can be direct, indirect or cumulative.

- Direct impacts refer to changes in environmental components that result from direct cause-effect consequences of interactions between the environment and project activities. The direct impact is caused by the action and occurs at the same time and place.
- Indirect (Secondary) impacts result from cause-effect consequences of interactions between the environment and direct impacts. The indirect impact is caused by the action and occurs later in time or is further removed in distance.
- Cumulative impacts refer to the combined effect of changes to the environment caused by multiple human activities
 over space and time. Cumulative impact is the sum of existing conditions and the direct / indirect impacts resulting from
 the project. Example: A single cut in the forest is unlikely to have a detectable change, however increasing multiple
 cuts in the forest caused by a number of human activities is likely to decrease fauna and flora and increase soil erosion.
 Cumulative effects can thus be additive or synergistic. A synergistic effect refers to when the combined effect is greater
 than the sum of individual effects.

Method for assessing the overall significance of impacts

The overall significance of the impact is critical for defining mitigation and monitoring strategies. The qualified significance of predicted impacts assists to determine the manner in which aspects should be managed in order to avoid or minimise the predicted impacts.

Overall significance of the impacts is determined through systematically rating the following criteria of the impacts:

- The status of the impact
- The spatial extent of the impact
- The severity of negativity or degree of positivity of the impact
 - The duration of the impact
 - The frequency of the impact
 - The intensity of the impact
- The consequence of the impact
- The probability of the impact occurring

Impact Status

A qualitative rating of positive or negative is assigned to impact status. Refer to Table 1 (methodology).

Spatial Extent

The spatial extent for each aspect, receptor and impact is defined. The geographical coverage (spatial extent) description will take account of the following factors:

- The physical extent / distribution of the aspect
- The physical extent / distribution of the receptor
- The proposed impact as a result of the aspect
- The nature of the baseline environment within the area of impact

For example, the impacts of noise are likely to be confined to a smaller geographical area than the impacts of atmospheric emissions, which may be experienced at some distance. The significance of impacts also varies spatially; noise may be significant in the immediate vicinity. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. A quantitative value ranging from 1 – 6 is assigned to the rating. Refer to Table 1 (methodology).

Duration

The duration refers to the length of time that an aspect of a proposed project may cause change on the receiving environment. The receiving environment could refer to either the social or cultural or biophysical environment. The change caused may be a positive or negative change. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. A quantitative value ranging from 1 – 6 is assigned to the rating.

Frequency

The frequency of the impact occurring refers to how often the aspect results in a given impact on the receiving environment. The receiving environment could refer to either the social or cultural or biophysical environment. The impact may be positive or negative. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. A quantitative value ranging from 1 – 6 is assigned to the rating.

Intensity

The intensity refers to the magnitude of the impact experienced by the receiving environment. The environment could refer to either the social or cultural or biophysical environment. The impact experienced may be a positive or negative impact. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. A quantitative value ranging from 1 – 6 is assigned to the rating.

Severity / Degree

The severity is the sum of the intensity, duration and frequency of the impact and therefore a quantitative value ranging from 3 – 18 is assigned to the rating. If the impact is positive, the degree of positivity is determined. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating.

Consequence

A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. The consequence is the sum of the Severity (Intensity + Duration + Frequency) and Spatial Extent. Therefore, a quantitative value ranging from 4 – 24 is assigned to the rating.

Probability

In order to determine the significance of the impact, the probability of the impact occurring must first be rated. The probability refers to the likelihood that an impact will result from the aspect in question. A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. A quantitative value ranging from 1 – 6 is assigned to the rating.

Overall Significance

A definition of a "significant impact" for the purposes of the study is: "An impact which, either in isolation or in combination with others, could, in the opinion of the specialist, have a material influence on the decision-making process, including the specification of mitigating measures."

A qualitative description is assigned to the rating. The significance is the sum of the Consequence and Probability. Therefore a quantitative value ranging from 5 - 30 is assigned to the rating. A value of 5, 6 or 7 represents a low significance and described as "not harmful". A value of 30 presents a Very High Significance and is described as an "environmental disaster".

Mitigation

The Mitigation ratings are described qualitatively according to the success and feasibility of the mitigation option in question. The impacts are further rated before and after mitigation / management options. Negative impacts are assessed with mitigation measures in place in order to give an overall significance rating with mitigation in place. Positive impacts are assessed with management measures in place in order to give an overall significance rating with management in place.

Confidence

The confidence of the EAP is assigned a qualitative value.

Table 1: Impact Assessment Rating methodology

	Impact Status							
Rating		Negative		Positive				
Description	of any aspect of the proposed project. The environment refers to the social environment or the cultural environment or the biophysical environment.			An impact is rated positive if any degree of positive change will occur in the receiving environment as a result of any aspect of the proposed project. The environment refers to the social environment or the cultural environment or the biophysical environment. Positive impacts are to be enhanced.				
		S	cale (Spatial Exter	nt)				
R	defers to the spatial are	ea the aspect will imp	act on the environm	ent. The impact may	y be positive or nega	tive.		
Rating	Activity specific	Site specific	Local area Specific	Municipal	Provincial / National	International		
Description	Impact only experienced on area where	Impact extends to the entire site of the project	Impact extends beyond site into surrounding areas	Impact extends beyond local area into municipal areas	Impact extends beyond municipal area into provincial	Impact extends beyond national area		

	activity is located				and may extend nationally	
Value	1	2	3	4	5	6

Duration

Refers to the length of time that the aspect may cause a change on the environment. The change may be positive or negative.

Rating	Very Short term	Short term	Short - Medium term	Medium term	Medium - Long term	Long term
Description	1 day to 3 month	3 months to one year	One year to three years	Three years to ten years	Life of operation	Extends beyond post closure
Value	1	2	3	4	5	6

Frequency

Refers to how often the aspect may impact on the environment.

The impact may be positive or negative.

Rating	Rarely	Infrequent	Seldom	Regular	Often	Continuously
Description	Could occur annually	Could occur within 6 months	Monthly	Weekly	Daily	Non stop
Value	1	2	3	4	5	6

Intensity (Magnitude / Size)

Refers to the intensity of the impact experienced by the receiving environment. The impact may be positive or negative.

ĺ	Rating	Low	Low to medium	Medium	Medium to High	High	Very High
	Description	Low intensity experienced only by receiving environment and / or occurs within 100 metres of activity	Low – medium intensity on receiving environment and / or occurs 100 – 500 metres of activity	Medium intensity on receiving environment and / or occurs 500 – 1000 metres of activity	Medium to high intensity on receiving environment and / or occurs within 1000 – 5000 metres of activity	High intensity on receiving environment and / or occurs within 5000 – 10 000 metres of activity	Very high intensity on receiving environment and / or within 10 000 metres or beyond of the activity
	Value	1	2	3	4	5	6

Severity of negative impact

Severity (Intensity + Duration + Frequency)

The severity of an environmental aspect is determined by the degree of change to the baseline environment, and considers the following:

The reversibility of the negative impact,

The sensitivity of the receptor to the stressor,

The impact duration, its permanency and whether it increases or decreases with time.

Rating	Negligible	Low Negative	Medium Negative	Medium - High Negative	High Negative	Very High Negative
Description	There will be negligible impact as a result of the aspect	There will be a minor impact as a result of the aspect. This is easily reversible.	The aspect will result in a moderate impact. Reversibility of the impact easy but costly.	The aspect will result in a high impact. Reversibility of the impact possible but costly.	The aspect will result in a high impact. Reversibility of the impact difficult and costly.	The aspect will result in a severe impact. Reversibility of the impact not likely.
Value	3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-15	16-18

Degree of positive impact

Degree (Intensity + Duration + Frequency)

The severity of an environmental aspect is determined by the degree of change to the baseline environment, and considers the following:

The enhancement of the positive impact,

The sensitivity of the receptor to the opportunity,

The impact duration, its permanency and whether it increases or decreases with time.

Rating	Negligible	Low Positive	Medium Positive	Medium High Positive	High Positive	Very High Positive
Description	There will be negligible impact	There will be a minor impact as a	The aspect will result in a	The aspect will result in a high impact.	The aspect will result in a high impact.	The aspect will result in a very

	aspect	aspect.	moderate impact.			high positive impact.	
Value	3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-15	16-18	
	-			ative Consequence			
			ce = (Severity + Sp				
Rating	Negligible	Negative low	Negative Medium	Negative Medium High	Negative High	Negative Very High	
Description	Impact has insignificant consequence on receiving environment. Requires little or no mitigation.	Impact requires in situ mitigation and receptor mitigation.	Impact requires in situ mitigation and receptor mitigation	Impact requires in situ mitigation, receptor mitigation and repair or restoration.	Impact requires in situ mitigation, receptor mitigation and repair or restoration and possible compensation.	Impact is to be avoided	
Value	4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17-20	20-24	
			sitive Consequen				
	I	Consequer	ice = (Degree + Spa			T =	
Rating	Negligible	Positive low	Positive Medium	Positive Medium High	Positive High	Positive Very High	
Description	Impact has insignificant consequence on receiving environment.	Impact has a positive consequence; management required to enhance positive outcomes.	Impact has a positive consequence; management required to enhance positive outcomes.	Impact has a positive consequence; management required to enhance positive outcomes.	Impact has a positive consequence; management required to maintain positive outcomes.	Widespread / substantial beneficial effect. No alternative ways to achieve same benefits. Management required to maintain positive outcomes.	
Value	4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17-20	20-24	
Refers	to the likelihood that	an impact will result	Probability from the aspect in g	uestion. The impact	may be positive or n	egative.	
Rating	Slim	Slight	Plausible	Probable	Expected	Anticipated	
Description	0 - 9% likelihood	10 – 25 % likelihood	26 - 50% likelihood	51 - 75% likelihood	76 - 90% likelihood	91 - 100 % likelihood	
Value	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	1		egative Significand		ı		
		(Con	sequence + Probat	-,			
Rating	Negligible	Low	Medium	Medium High	High	Very High	
Description	Not harmful	Slightly harmful	Harmful	Very Harmful	Considerably Harmful	Disaster	
Value	5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	26-30	
			ositive Significand				
			sequence + Probab				
Rating	Negligible	Low	Medium	Medium High	High	Very High	
Description	Insignificant	Slightly positive	Positive	Positive but not substantial.	Substantial positive impact.	Necessity	
Value	5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	26-30	
5 //			ation of negative in			I	
Rating	None	Likely	Possible	Difficult	Unlikely	Not possible	
Description	Mitigation not required. Impact remains the	Impact can be avoided with mitigation which has proven	Impact can be minimised and managed with	Difficult or costly to mitigate.	Difficult and costly to mitigate	Impact cannot be mitigated	
	same.	results.	mitigation				

	Rating	None	Likely	Possible	Difficult	Unlikely	Not possible	
	Description	Management not required. Impact remains the same.	Impact can be easily enhanced with management which has proven results.	Impact can be enhanced with management	Difficult or costly to enhance but possible	Difficult and costly to enhance	Impact cannot be enhanced	
ĺ	Confidence							
	Refers to the confidence level the EAP has in predicting the impact.							
	Rating	Low	Medium low	Medium	Medium High	High	Very High	