SCREENING REPORT FOR AN ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORIZATION AS REQUIRED BY THE 2014 EIA REGULATIONS – PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY

EIA Reference number:

Project name: RE 1 of Hanglip no.305

Project title: Keurbooms River Bank Stabilisation

Date screening report generated: 07/09/2023 14:15:25

Applicant: Plettenberg Bay Angling Club

Compiler: Eco Route Environmental Consultancy

Compiler signature: S. Tesluckdhari


Application Category: Any activities within or close to a watercourse

Table of Contents


F	Proposed Project Location	3
	Orientation map 1: General location	3
N	Лар of proposed site and relevant area(s)	4
	Cadastral details of the proposed site	4
	Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area	5
	Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application	5
E	nvironmental screening results and assessment outcomes	5
	Relevant development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions	5
	Proposed Development Area Environmental Sensitivity	
	Specialist assessments identified	
F	Results of the environmental sensitivity of the proposed area	
	MAP OF RELATIVE AGRICULTURE THEME SENSITIVITY	
	MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY	8
	MAP OF RELATIVE AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY	9
	MAP OF RELATIVE ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE THEME SENSITIVITY	10
	MAP OF RELATIVE CIVIL AVIATION THEME SENSITIVITY	
	MAP OF RELATIVE DEFENCE THEME SENSITIVITY	12
	MAP OF RELATIVE PALEONTOLOGY THEME SENSITIVITY	13
	MAP OF RELATIVE PLANT SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY	14
	MAP OF RELATIVE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY	15

Proposed Project Location

Orientation map 1: General location



Map of proposed site and relevant area(s)



Cadastral details of the proposed site

Property details:

No	Farm Name	Farm/ Erf No	Portion	Latitude	Longitude	Property Type
1	HANGLIP	305	0	33°59'29S	23°22'28.37E	Farm
2	HANGLIP	305	1	34°0'32.59S	23°23'53.45E	Farm Portion
3	HANGLIP	305	1	34°1'4.28S	23°23'49.49E	Farm Portion

Development footprint¹ vertices:

Footprint	Latitude	Longitude
1	34°0'15.94S	23°23'56.16E
1	34°0'21.05S	23°23'55.21E
1	34°0'21.04S	23°23'55.21E
1	34°0'21.04S	23°23'55.21E
1	34°0'21.04S	23°23'55.17E
1	34°0'20.97S	23°23'54.8E
1	34°0'15.9S	23°23'55.9E
1	34°0'15.94S	23°23'56.16E

Page 4 of 15 <u>Disclaimer applies</u> 07/09/2023

¹ "development footprint", means the area within the site on which the development will take place and incudes all ancillary developments for example roads, power lines, boundary walls, paving etc. which require vegetation clearance or which will be disturbed and for which the application has been submitted.

Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area

No nearby wind or solar developments found.

Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application

No intersections with EMF areas found.

Environmental screening results and assessment outcomes

The following sections contain a summary of any development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions that apply to the proposed development footprint as well as the most environmental sensitive features on the footprint based on the footprint sensitivity screening results for the application classification that was selected. The application classification selected for this report is:

Any activities within or close to a watercourse.

Relevant development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions

The following development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions and their implications that apply to this footprint are indicated below.

Incentive, restriction or prohibition	Implication	
South African Conservation Areas	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/DevelopmentZones/SACAD OR 2023 Q1 Metadata.pdf	

Proposed Development Area Environmental Sensitivity

The following summary of the development footprint environmental sensitivities is identified. Only the highest environmental sensitivity is indicated. The footprint environmental sensitivities for the proposed development footprint as identified, are indicative only and must be verified on site by a suitably qualified person before the specialist assessments identified below can be confirmed.

Theme	Very High	High	Medium	Low
	sensitivity	sensitivity	sensitivity	sensitivity
Agriculture Theme			Х	
Animal Species Theme		Х		
Aquatic Biodiversity Theme	Х			
Archaeological and Cultural				Х
Heritage Theme				
Civil Aviation Theme		Х		
Defence Theme				Х
Paleontology Theme			Χ	
Plant Species Theme			Х	
Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme	Х			

Specialist assessments identified


Based on the selected classification, and the known impacts associated with the proposed development, the following list of specialist assessments have been identified for inclusion in the assessment report. It is the responsibility of the EAP to confirm this list and to motivate in the assessment report, the reason for not including any of the identified specialist study including the provision of photographic evidence of the footprint situation.

No	Specialist	Assessment Protocol
	assessment	
1	Landscape/Visual Impact Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/Asse ssmentProtocols/Gazetted_General_Requirement_Assessment_P rotocols.pdf
2	Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/Asse ssmentProtocols/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment P rotocols.pdf
3	Palaeontology Impact Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/Asse ssmentProtocols/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment P rotocols.pdf
4	Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/Asse ssmentProtocols/Gazetted Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment Protocols.pdf
5	Aquatic Biodiversity Impact Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted Aquatic Biodiversity Assessment Protocols.pdf
6	Hydrology Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/Asse ssmentProtocols/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment P rotocols.pdf
7	Socio-Economic Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/Asse ssmentProtocols/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment P rotocols.pdf
8	Plant Species Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/Asse ssmentProtocols/Gazetted_Plant_Species_Assessment_Protocols. pdf
9	Animal Species Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/Asse ssmentProtocols/Gazetted_Animal_Species_Assessment_Protoco ls.pdf

Results of the environmental sensitivity of the proposed area.

The following section represents the results of the screening for environmental sensitivity of the proposed footprint for relevant environmental themes associated with the project classification. It is the duty of the EAP to ensure that the environmental themes provided by the screening tool are comprehensive and complete for the project. Refer to the disclaimer.


MAP OF RELATIVE AGRICULTURE THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		Χ	

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Land capability;06. Low-Moderate/07. Low-Moderate/08. Moderate

MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY




Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
	X		

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
High	Aves-Circus ranivorus
High	Aves-Hydroprogne caspia
High	Aves-Neotis denhami
High	Aves-Polemaetus bellicosus
Medium	Mammalia-Chlorotalpa duthieae
Medium	Sensitive species 8
Medium	Invertebrate-Sarophorus punctatus


MAP OF RELATIVE AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
X			

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Very High	CBA 1: Aquatic
Very High	Estuary_Keurbooms
Very High	FEPA Subcatchment
Very High	SWSA (SW) _Outeniqua
Very High	Wetlands_(Estuary)


MAP OF RELATIVE ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			Χ

Sensitivity	Feature(s)	
Low	Low sensitivity	


MAP OF RELATIVE CIVIL AVIATION THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
	X		

Sensitivity	Feature(s)	
High	Within 8 km of other civil aviation aerodrome	


MAP OF RELATIVE DEFENCE THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			Χ

Sensitivity	Feature(s)	
Low	Low Sensitivity	


MAP OF RELATIVE PALEONTOLOGY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		X	

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Features with a Medium paleontological sensitivity

MAP OF RELATIVE PLANT SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY




Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		Х	

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Faurea macnaughtonii
Medium	Ocotea bullata
Medium	Ruschia duthiae
Medium	Amauropelta knysnaensis
Medium	Leucospermum glabrum
Medium	Selago burchellii
Medium	Cotula myriophylloides
Medium	Acmadenia alternifolia
Medium	Sensitive species 763
Medium	Zostera capensis

MAP OF RELATIVE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
X			

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Very High	FEPA Subcatchment
Very High	National Protected Area Expansion Strategy (NPAES)
Very High	SANParks (Buffer)_Garden Route National Park
Very High	SWSA (SW) _Outeniqua
Very High	EN_Garden Route Shale Fynbos